



## Supplement of

# Atomic-scale environment of niobium in ore minerals as revealed by XANES and EXAFS at the Nb K-edge

Quentin Bollaert et al.

Correspondence to: Quentin Bollaert (qbollaert@me.com)

The copyright of individual parts of the supplement might differ from the article licence.

# 1) Crystallographic and chemical analysis of Nb minerals

 Table S1. Representative chemical composition of the pyrochlore samples

	Representative compositions of pyrochlore						
wt%	Fluorcalciopyrochlore	Hydropyrochlore	Ba-rich kenopyrochlore	U-rich oxynatropyrochlore			
F	7.407	0.181	0.094	1.5			
Na <sub>2</sub> O	8.324	0.055	0.046	2.14			
MgO	0.041	0.005	0.057				
$AI_2O_3$	0.028	1.260	0.648	0.07			
SiO <sub>2</sub>	0.077	0.919	1.349	-			
K <sub>2</sub> O	0.004	0.212	0.038	0.05			
CaO	13.971	0.268	0.198	12.3			
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.957	4.162	1.236	12.3			
MnO	-	0.011	0.152	0.32			
FeO	0.030	0.362	7.978	1.91			
SrO	1.695	2.865	0.113	0.17			
$Y_2O_3$	-	-	0.016	0.32			
$ZrO_2$	-	0.008	2.571				
$Nb_2O_5$	68.824	76.409	64.497	34.5			
BaO	-	-	8.043	0.17			
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	0.146	0.063	0.159	9.5			
PbO	-	-	0.00	1.76			
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	0.163	0.040	0.026				
CeO <sub>2</sub>	0.443	0.069	1.246	0.49 (Ln <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )			
$Nd_2O_3$	-	-	0.00				
ThO <sub>2</sub>	0.237	0.085	3.067	1.13			
UO <sub>2</sub>	-	0.025	0.197	19.7			
Total	102.207	87.000	91.732	97.85			
O=F	3.119	0.076	0.038	0.53			
Total	99.008	86.924	91.694	97.32			

**Table S2.** Representative chemical composition of the other natural samples studied.

	R	epresentative	compositior	ns of Nb mir	erals		
wt%	Columbite-(Mn)	Nb perovskite	Niocalite	Lueshite	Wöhlerite	Fergusonite-(Y	) Aeschynite-(Y)
F	0.013	0.019	3.291	-	5.394	-	-
Na <sub>2</sub> O	0.017	1.499	0.788	17.701	8.796	-	-
MgO	0.006	0.250	0.334	0.015	0.169	-	-
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	0.208	0.112	0.001	0.015	-	-
SiO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	29.076	0.009	29.841	-	-
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.275	0.189
K <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	0.009	0.015	-	-
CaO	0.011	33.485	43.692	1.061	25.609	1.567	2.144
TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.719	36.799	0.120	2.552	1.256	1.273	30.242
MnO	12.011	0.052	0.806	0.005	0.965	-	-
FeO	7.804	4.696	0.260	0.093	1.273	-	0.713
SrO	-	0.232	0.246	0.054	0.000	-	-
Y <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	0.051	-	0.401	24.364	15.792
ZrO <sub>2</sub>	-	0.974	0.404	0.012	13.233	-	-
Nb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	69.201	16.392	15.368	74.644	12.034	46.842	14.218
BaO	-	-	-	-	0.000	-	-
Ta <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	7.179	0.728	0.848	0.528	0.212	1.273	3.481
PbO	-			-	0.000	-	-
La <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	0.765	0.180	0.456	0.047	0.029	-
Ce <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	2.343	0.639	1.144	0.200	0.402	0.781
Pr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.128	0.173
Nd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	0.124	0.035	0.036	0.012	0.814	1.573
Sm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.511	1.691
Eu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.109	0.561
Gd <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	1.117	3.365
Tb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.148	0.457
Dy <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	1.232	3.547
Ho <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.509	0.878
Er <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	2.165	2.681
Tm <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	3.624	2.513
Yb <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Lu <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	-	-	-	-	-	0.603	0.408
ThO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	0.906	0.000	7.562	7.388
UO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	-	-	0.000	4.888	3.412
Total	97.057	98.570	96.249	99.251	99.470	99.435	96.221
O=F	0.005	0.008	1.386		2,27		
Total	97.052	98.562	94.863	99.251	97.200	99.435	96.221

**Table S3.** Representative chemical composition of the other natural samples studied.

Nb mineral reference	Crystal system	Space group	z	a (Å)	b (Å)	c (Å)	a (°)	β (°)	<b>Y</b> (°)	V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Reflection indexed	Θ (°)
Columbite-(Mn)	Orthorombic	Pbcn	4	14.244(2)	5.7361(14)	5.1216(10)	90.0	90.0	90.0	418.40(12)	402	3.8180-28.4390
Lueshite	Cubic	Pn-3m	8	7.8103(5)	7.8103(5)	7.8103(5)	90.0	90.0	90.0	476.43(6)	214	5.2270-27.6040
Nb perovskite	Tetragonal	Pbnm	4	5.429(4)	5.4860(3)	7.718(4)	90.0	90.0	90.0	229.8(2)	294	5.2810-28.5230
Niocalite	Monoclinic	P1c1	2	10.8741(15)	10.4515(8)	7.3997(13)	90.0	109.89(2)	90.0	790.78(19)	942	3.5880-28.8090
Wöhlerite	Monoclinic	P1211	4	10.8227(9)	10.2312(5)	7.2901(5)	90.0	109.156(9)	90.0	762.52(3)		
Pyrochlore samples												
Fluorcalciopyrochlore	Cubic	Fd-3m	8	10.450(3)	10.450(3)	10.450(3)	90.0	90.0	90.0	1141.2(5)	111	6.3470-25.4270
Ba-rich kenopyrochlore	Cubic	Fd-3m	8	10.5852(9)	10.5852(9)	10.5852(9)	90.0	90.0	90.0	1186.04(17)	128	6.3470–26.6960
Hydropyrochlore	Cubic	Fd-3m	8	10.6054(14)	10.6054(14)	10.6054(14)	90.0	90.0	90.0	1192.8(3)	229	6.3600-26.3740

#### 3) XRD pattern of synthetic Nb-substituted oxides

The diffraction patterns of Nb-substituted anatase reveal variations in the Bragg reflections, as depicted in Fig. S1b and c. Specifically, in the diffraction pattern of anatase containing 5 wt% Nb, only reflections (103) and (112) are prominent. Additionally, the reflections (211), (116), and (220) appear less pronounced in the diffraction pattern of anatase with 1 wt% Nb. The variations in the width of the reflections can be attributed to disparities in crystallinity. Using the Scherrer equation with the (101) reflection, we determined that the nanocrystal sizes are 92 nm, 108 nm, and 107 nm for anatase samples containing 1 wt% Nb, 5 wt% Nb, and 10 wt% Nb, respectively.

Moreover, there are discernible shifts in 20 between these diffraction patterns (Fig. S1c). As shown for the (101) reflection, the diffraction peak of Nb-doped anatase with 1 wt % Nb and 5 wt% Nb are aligned at 29.5° while that of 10 wt% Nb is located at 29.3°. This shift involves modifications in the unit-cell parameters due to the incorporation of Nb<sup>5+</sup> in the crystal lattice of anatase. Rietveld refinement of the diffraction patterns reveals that the unit-cell parameters of Nb-doped anatase are larger than pure anatase (a = b = 3.78 Å, c = 9.51 Å). More specifically, an increase in the *c* parameter with Nb doping, resulting in *c* values of 9.51 Å, 9.55 Å, and 9.58 Å for

Nb-anatase with 1 wt%, 5 wt%, and 10 wt% Nb, respectively. Conversely, the impact of Nb doping on the *a* parameter is less straightforward. It is slightly larger in anatase with 1 wt% Nb than in the case of 5 wt% Nb. However, the a parameter reaches its maximum value in anatase with 10 wt% Nb (a = 3.85 Å).



**Figure S1.** XRD patterns of (a) Nb-substituted hematite and goethite; (b) Nb-substituted rutile and anatase; (c) Close-up on the (101) peak. (d) Nb-substituted cerianite.

### 4) Refinement details of hydropyrochlore sample

**Table S4.** Crystallographic data and structure refinement of hydropyrochlore sample.

Empirical formula	$Nb_{1.9}O_{8.75}Sr_{0.07}Ti_{0.1}$
Formula weight	327.45
Temperature/K	293
Crystal system	cubic
Space group	Fd-3m
a/Å	10.5690(10)
b/Å	10.5690(10)
c/Å	10.5690(10)
$\alpha/^{\circ}$	90
β/°	90
γ/°	90
Volume/Å <sup>3</sup>	1180.6(3)
Z	8
$\rho_{calc}g/cm^3$	3.685
µ/mm <sup>1</sup>	4.460
F(000)	1222.0
Crystal size/mm <sup>3</sup>	0.1 imes 0.1 imes 0.05
Radiation	Mo Ka ( $\lambda = 0.71073$ )
2 $\Theta$ range for data collection/°	6.678 to 56.162
Index ranges	$-8 \le h \le 14, -12 \le k \le 14, -5 \le l \le 11$
Reflections collected	615
Independent reflections	$89 [R_{int} = 0.0342, R_{sigma} = 0.0164]$
Data/restraints/parameters	89/0/10
Goodness-of-fit on F <sup>2</sup>	1.309
Final R indexes [I>= $2\sigma$ (I)]	$R_1 = 0.0395, wR_2 = 0.0831$
Final R indexes [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0452, wR_2 = 0.0881$
Largest diff. peak/hole / e Å <sup>-3</sup>	0.38/-1.34

**Table S5.** Fractional atomic coordinates (×10<sup>4</sup>) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>×10<sup>3</sup>). U<sub>eq</sub> is defined as 1/3 of the trace of the orthogonalized U<sub>u</sub> tensor.

Atom	x	у	Ζ	U(eq)
Nb	2500	5000	7500	40.8(6)
Ti	2500	5000	7500	40.8(6)
O3	3750	5623(5)	8750	30.9(10)
O2	5000	7500	7500	37(2)
O1	6250	6250	6250	199(16)
Sr	6250	6250	6250	199(16)

**Table S6.** Anisotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>×10<sup>3</sup>). The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:  $-2\pi^2[h^2a^{*2}U_{11}+2hka^*b^*U_{12}+...]$ .

Atom	U11	U <sub>22</sub>	U <sub>33</sub>	U <sub>23</sub>	U <sub>13</sub>	U <sub>12</sub>
Nb	40.8(6)	40.8(6)	40.8(6)	6.0(3)	-6.0(3)	6.0(3)
Ti	40.8(6)	40.8(6)	40.8(6)	6.0(3)	-6.0(3)	6.0(3)
O3	30.7(15)	31(3)	30.7(15)	0	-2.8(18)	0
O2	37(2)	37(2)	37(2)	6(2)	-6(2)	-6(2)
01	199(16)	199(16)	199(16)	0	0	0
Sr	199(16)	199(16)	199(16)	0	0	0

 Table S7. Bond lengths.

Atom	Atom	Length/Å	Atom	Atom	Length/Å
Nb01	O31	1.9811(16)	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	1.9811(16)
Nb01	O3 <sup>2</sup>	1.9811(17)	O3	Nb01 <sup>6</sup>	1.9811(16)
Nb01	O3 <sup>3</sup>	1.9811(16)	O3	$Sr1^7$	3.304(5)
Nb01	O3 <sup>4</sup>	1.9811(16)	02	$Sr1^7$	2.2883(2)

Table S8. Bond angles.

Atom	Atom	Atom	Angle/°	Atom	Atom	Atom	Angle/°
O31	Nb01	03	180.0	O3 <sup>2</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	90.06(19)
O3	Nb01	O3 <sup>2</sup>	89.94(19)	O3 <sup>4</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	89.94(19)
O3	Nb01	O3 <sup>3</sup>	89.94(19)	O31	Nb01	O3 <sup>2</sup>	90.06(19)
O3 <sup>2</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>3</sup>	89.94(19)	O3 <sup>3</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	180.0
O3	Nb01	O3 <sup>4</sup>	90.06(19)	Nb01	03	Nb01 <sup>6</sup>	141.1(3)
O31	Nb01	O3 <sup>3</sup>	90.06(19)	Nb01	O3	Ti016	141.1
O31	Nb01	O3 <sup>4</sup>	89.94(19)	Nb01	03	$Sr1^7$	109.43(13)
O3 <sup>4</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>3</sup>	90.06(19)	Nb01 <sup>6</sup>	O3	$Sr1^7$	109.43(13)
O3	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	90.06(19)	Ti016	O3	Nb01 <sup>6</sup>	0.0
O3 <sup>4</sup>	Nb01	O3 <sup>2</sup>	180.0	Ti01 <sup>6</sup>	03	$Sr1^7$	109.43(13)
O31	Nb01	O3 <sup>5</sup>	89.94(19)				

# 5) Fit of the hydropyrochlore EXAFS data considering one oxygen



shell only

**Figure S2.** Discrepancy between the fit and the experimental EXAFS and FFT spectra of hydropyrochlore considering a single oxygen shell as determined by XRD refinement.