Mineralogy and mineral chemistry of detrital platinum-group minerals and gold particles from the Elbe, Germany
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Abstract. In heavy mineral concentrates of the Elbe, gold and platinum-group minerals (PGMs) are observed. Two fractions (> 63 and < 63 μm) of the concentrate are analyzed by reflected-light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy with automated mineralogy software and electron microprobe analysis (EPMA). Other heavy minerals are cassiterite, ferberite, monazite, uraninite, columbite–tantalite, magnetite, zircon and cinnabar. Scanning electron microscopy determined the modal abundance of PGMs, gold and the other heavy minerals. The PGMs are mainly Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys, Pt–Fe alloys, sperrylite and rustenburgite. Compositional variation of PGMs and gold was analyzed by EPMA. This showed that Pt–Fe alloys are (1) native platinum (> 80 atom %), (2) ferroan Pt (20 atom % to 50 atom % Fe), (3) isoferroplatinum (2.64 to 3.04 apfu of sum PGE, platinum-group element), (4) tetraferroplatinum group with Ni + Cu + Fe ≈ 50 atom %, and (5) γ(Pt,Fe) with sum PGE > 3.04 apfu. The Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys show large compositional variations. Platinum and Fe enrichment is typically observed for Ir-rich Os–Ir–Ru alloys. Gold particles often show compositional zoning of Ag-rich cores and Ag-poor rims due to selective leaching of Ag. Similarly, Hg-rich rims of gold particles are analyzed. These are interpreted as the results of in situ amalgamation due to mobilization of Hg from the associated cinnabar particles. The size and shape of the gold particles generally argue for short transportation distances. Similarly, almost euahedral sperrylite and Pt–Fe alloys suggest a source region close to the sampling site. However, roundish Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys presumably have experienced longer transportation in the river. Gabbroic dikes of the Lusatia block contain sperrylite and gold particles, which can be the source for these particles found in the concentrate. The composition of the Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys is similar to previous studies on the Vestřev placer in Czech Republic. Both locations are within the drainage area of the Elbe and can therefore be the source of the PGM and gold particles in the concentrate.
1 Introduction

Major raw materials within the Elbe are gravel and sand, which are mined for the construction industry. However, these gravels and sands contain enrichments of heavy minerals. Heavy mineral concentrates in rivers generally include cassiterite, ferberite, monazite, uraninite, columbite-tantalite, magnetite, zircon and garnet, as well as platinum-group minerals (PGMs) and gold (Dill, 2007; Dill et al., 2009; Hallbauer and Utter, 1977; Oberthür et al., 2016; Slingerland, 1984; Vital et al., 1999; Wierchowiec, 2007).

Historically, placer deposits were the only source for platinum-group elements (PGEs) until the discovery of the major ore bodies of the Bushveld Complex in South Africa, the Great Dyke in Zimbabwe, Norilsk in Russia and Sudbury in Canada. In these placers, PGEs occur as discrete mineral phases forming a large group of PGMs, which mainly consists of PGE alloys accompanied by minor amounts of PGE arsenides, sulfides, sulfarsenides, bismuthides and tellurides (Cabri, 2002; Cabri et al., 1996; Oberthür, 2018; Weiser, 2002). The PGE alloys consist mainly of two different groups, i.e., Pt–Fe alloys and Os–Ir–Ru alloys. The nomenclature of Os–Ir–Ru alloys is used and generally accepted according to Harris and Cabri (1991). A revised Pt–Fe phase diagram was recently presented (Cabri et al., 2022b) to update the one by Cabri and Feather (1975).

In general more than 90 % of PGMs in placers are alloys of Pt–Fe and Os–Ir–Ru–Pt with wide ranges of compositions, whereas PGE sulfides are rare (Cabri et al., 2022a; Weiser, 2002). The PGMs in placers can typically be traced to the source region (e.g., ophiolites, Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes or layered intrusions) by their characteristic compositions (Cabri et al., 1996, 2022a; Garutti et al., 1997; Weiser, 2002). For example, Os–Ir–Ru alloys (Os > Ru > Ir > Pt) mainly occur in ophiolites (Cabri et al., 1996, 2022a; González-Jiménez et al., 2009; Weiser, 2002), whereas Pt–Fe alloys usually originate from Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes (Cabri et al., 2022a, b; Cabri and Genkin, 1991; Rudashevsky et al., 2002; Tolstykh et al., 2004; Weiser, 2002; Zaccarini et al., 2018), and layered intrusions host a large variety of PGE sulfides and arsenides such as laurite, cooperite–braggite and sperrylite, as well as PGE bismuthotellurides and other rare PGM. However, Os–Ir–Ru alloys from layered intrusions are scarce (Cabri et al., 2022a; Junge et al., 2014; Oberthür, 2011, 2018; Oberthür et al., 2014, 2016; Weiser, 2002). In ophiolites, Pt–Fe alloys exist, but these are rare and characterized by high Fe, Cu and Ni contents (Cabri et al., 2022a; Weiser, 2002). Recently, it was shown that PGMs rich in Pt, Pd and Rh (relative to Ru, Os and Ir) exist in ophiolites associated with sulfide-bearing chromitites (González-Jiménez et al., 2014a, b; O’Driscoll and González-Jiménez, 2015; Prichard and Brought, 2009; Zaccarini et al., 2022). Generally, PGMs are primary and are formed by magmatic or post-magmatic processes. These primary PGMs were subsequently liberated from the host rock by weathering and transported by physical processes (Barkov and Cabri, 2019; Cabri et al., 1996, 2022a; Cabri and Harris, 1975; Oberthür, 2018). In primary PGE ores, nanometer-sized particles of Pt–Fe–Cu alloys were found that formed by coalescence of clusters (Junge et al., 2015; Pushkarev et al., 2018). These nanometer-sized clusters form early and ligands of semimetals such as S, As, Sb and Te can bond with these clusters constituting embryos for further crystal growth (Junge et al., 2015; Okrugin, 2011; Tredoux et al., 1995). These nanometer-sized PGMs are observed in various geological settings ranging from ophiolites and layered intrusions (Baurier-Aymat et al., 2019; González-Jiménez et al., 2018, 2019, 2020; González-Jiménez and Reich, 2017; Helmy et al., 2013; Junge et al., 2015; Pushkarev et al., 2018; Rivera et al., 2018; Wirth et al., 2013). The primary PGMs can be altered and reworked at lower temperatures. Heavy mineral concentrates are also of great economic importance as the occurrence of the PGMs guides the exploration towards the primary source. For example, the encounter of PGM nuggets on the farm Maandagshoek led to the discovery of the major PGE deposits of the Bushveld Complex (Cawthorn, 1999; Melcher et al., 2005; Merensky, 1924, 1926; Oberthür et al., 2004, 2014; Wagner, 1929). Therefore, the provenance studies on placers are still an important exploration tool for the discovery of major ore bodies today.

Particles of PGMs in German rivers were identified in the Rhine (Dijkstra et al., 2016; Oberthür et al., 2016) and the Danube and their source regions (Dill et al., 2007, 2009, 2010; Lehrberger, 1997). The Os–Ir–Ru alloys in the Danube, which share similarities to the alloys analyzed here, are thought to be derived from the Teplá–Barrandian unit of the Bohemian Massif, part of which extends into the Bavarian Forest along the Czech–German border (Dill et al., 2009, 2010). However, gold particles are more common than PGMs within stream sediments of the Rhine, Danube and Elbe rivers (Albiez, 1951; Elsner, 2009; Goldenberg, 1988; Hofmann, 1965; Kirchheimer, 1969; Oberthür et al., 2016; Ramdohr, 1965). Gold was extracted on a small scale from German river sediments. For example, 363.3 kg of gold was delivered from the Rhine to the Karlsruhe Mint from 1748 to 1874 (Elsner, 2009). The gold contents of the gravels from the Rhine are estimated to be 0.05–11 mg t⁻¹ (Elsner, 2009).

The aims of this study are the characterization of PGMs and gold particles collected from stream sediments of the Elbe by scanning electron microscopy with automated mineralogy software using Mineral Liberation Analysis (MLA) and quantitative analysis of the mineral chemistry of individual PGM grains by electron microprobe (EPMA), as well as the evaluation of the potential source area for these precious minerals and the effect of transportation and alteration on the PGM and gold particles.
2 Geology of the drainage area

The Elbe and Vltava river system covers a large drainage area. Besides the Vltava, other large tributaries of the Elbe are the Havel (24,000 km$^2$), Saale (24,000 km$^2$), Mulde (7,400 km$^2$), Eger/Ohfe (5,600 km$^2$) and Elster (5,500 km$^2$) rivers (Scholz et al., 2005). The Vltava merges with the Elbe at Mělník and is the longest river in the Czech Republic and discharges via the Elbe through Germany into the North Sea (Fig. 1). The Vltava is 430 km long and drains over an area of about 28,000 km$^2$ (Hejzlar et al., 1996; Knab et al., 2006; Rosendorf et al., 2016). The source of the Vltava is located in the Šumava National Park in the Bohemian Massif. The drainage area of Vltava includes various geological formations of the Bohemian Massif, which is a highly deformed metamorphic zone (Moldanubian Zone of the Variscan orogeny) containing geological units of ortho- and paragneisses, migmatises, ultrabasites, and granitoids (Janoušek et al., 1995). Near the confluence with the Elbe, mainly sedimentary rocks of the North Bohemian Basin exist (Walter, 1995). The source of the Elbe is located in the Krkonoše mountains in Czech Republic, intersecting granites, sediments, and volcanic and metamorphic rocks (Hladil et al., 2003; Pašava et al., 2015; Plasil et al., 2009). Further downstream the Elbe covers various additional geological units such as the gabbroic and ultramafic rocks of the Lusatia (Járóka et al., 2019, 2021) and sedimentary, plutonic and metamorphic rocks, including the Erzgebirge and the Granulitgebirge (Hofmann et al., 2018; Zieger et al., 2019).

3 Samples and analytical methods

Two polished sections were produced from heavy mineral concentrates with grain size fractions of $<63$ and $>63$ µm, respectively (Fig. 2). The heavy mineral concentrates were separated by shaking tables and heavy mineral separation from a gravel quarry of the Elbe at Mühlberg (51.4528° N, 13.1817° E; Fig. 1) in eastern Germany. The $<2$ mm fraction of the gravel production was run over carpet sluice. Density separation of the particles attached to the carpet was done using an oscillating table to separate minerals with lower density (e.g., quartz, tourmaline). A hand magnet was used to separate magnetic minerals such as magnetite. In order to obtain a concentrate rich in PGMs, most of the gold was separated by flotation (diesel combined with a frother, i.e., palm oil). Some amounts of gold still remained in the concentrate, but this procedure allowed us to further upgrade the amount of PGMs. Zircons and chromite could be separated from the concentrate by panning. The concentrates were embedded in epoxy resin, followed by stepwise grinding and polishing. Mineral grains are investigated by reflected light microscopy to demonstrate the variety of grains and alteration (Figs. 3, 4).

The polished blocks containing mineral grains were qualitatively analyzed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometry (EDX). Quantitative mineralogical analysis was carried out using a FEI Quanta 650F field emission gun scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) equipped with two Bruker Quantax X-Flash 5030 energy dispersive X-ray spectrometers (EDX) and Mineral Liberation Analysis (MLA) software (suite 3.1.4) for data acquisition at the Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR) in Hanover. In the last decade various studies have provided in-depth information on the MLA system and PGM identification (Bachmann et al., 2017; Fandrich et al., 2007; Gu, 2003; Osbahr et al., 2015). In this study, the GXMAP (grain-based X-ray mapping) measurement mode was applied for identification of PGM grains with high spatial resolution and accuracy (Fandrich et al., 2007).

Following SEM analysis, grains of interest were subsequently measured by electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) using a JEOL JXA-8530F microprobe at the Federal Institute for Geosciences and Natural Resources (BGR) in Hanover. The analytical conditions were set to focused electron beam with an acceleration voltage and beam current of 30 kV and 40 nA, respectively. For PGM and gold analysis, the respective X-ray line, measuring time on peak in seconds, spectrometer crystal, reference material and mean detection limit.
for each element were as follows (ordered by atomic number): S Kα (PET, 10 s, HgS for cinnabar, artificial PtO₂Pd₀.₃S for PGE sulfides, and Fe₂S₂ for all other unknowns, 202 ppm), Fe Kα (LIFL, 10 s, metal, 83 ppm), Ni Kα (LIFL, 40 s, metal, 40 ppm), Cu Kα (LIFH, 60 s, metal, 39 ppm), As Lα (TAP, 20 s, synthetic GaAs, 233 ppm), Ru Lα (PETH, 40 s, metal, 317 ppm), Rh Lα (PETH, 20 s, metal, 386 ppm), Pd Lα (PETH, 20 s, metal, 451 ppm), Ag Lα (PETH, 40 s, metal, 322 ppm), Sn Lα (PET, 40 s, metal, 234 ppm), Sb Lα (PET, 40 s, metal, 225 ppm), Te Lα (PET, 40 s, metal, 216 ppm), Os Lα (LIFH, 40 s, metal, 134 ppm), Ir Lα (LIFH, 40 s, metal, 143 ppm), Pt Lα (LIFH, 20 s, metal, 220 ppm), Au Lα (LIFH, 20 s, metal, 197 ppm), Hg Lα (LIFH, 80 s, HgS, 111 ppm) and Bi Mz (PETH, 40 s, metal, 423 ppm). The mean atomic number (MAN) correction of the ProbeSoftware package was applied for correction of background, and raw data were corrected using the phi–rho–z method supplied by ProbeSoftware package.

4 Results

4.1 Morphologies and composition of gold and PGM grains

Particle sizes of gold and PGM are up to 600 µm in diameter and range from irregular to rounded shapes (Figs. 3, 4). Gold particles often have an Ag-poor rim and an Ag-rich core as shown by zoning of individual particles (Fig. 3c, e). Additionally, Hg-rich (Figs. 3a, d, e, 4b), Cu-rich gold grains occur (Figs. 3d, 4d), and few gold grains contain Pd (Fig. 4f). Similarly, PGM particles commonly have different compositions of their core and rim as evidence for multiphase grains and alteration (Fig. 3a, b). Inclusions of PGM within individual PGM particles are also frequently observed (Fig. 3a, d, e). Figure 3a and b show sperrylite cores with rims of pure platinum. Different alloys of Os–Ir–Ru are shown in Figs. 3e and 4b, c, d and f. Additionally, Ir–Os–Ru alloys occur as different particles with variable grain sizes (Fig. 4b, c, d, e, f). Similarly, Ru–Os–Ir alloys dominated by Ru are shown in Figs. 3b, 4b and f. Apart from IPGE (Os, Ir, Ru) alloys, also Pt–Fe alloys are frequently found (Figs. 3e, 4). Figure 4b shows a particle of native platinum. Other PGM are PGE sulfides (Fig. 4e), sperrylite (Fig. 4) and ruthenbourgite (Fig. 4e).

4.2 Compositions and abundance of gold and PGM grains

Analysis carried out by MLA showed that, in addition to gold and PGM particles, heavy minerals such as casseriterite, ferberite, monazite, uraninite, columbite–tantalite, magnetite, cinnabar and zircon are present in the heavy mineral concentrates (Fig. 5). Both grain size fractions < 63 and > 63 µm show similar percentage by area of heavy mineral abundance.

For < 63 µm fraction, the most abundant minerals (expressed in area %) are casseriterite (71 %) and wolframite (12 %). The general group of other oxides (e.g., uraninite, magnetite, columbite–tantalite), monazite and zircon is 6.2 area %. The percentage of the gold and PGM particles is 0.6 area % and 6.7 area %, respectively. Platinum-group minerals are sperrylite (2 area %), PGE Fe (1.6 area %), PGE alloys (2.9 area %) and PGE sulfides (0.1 area %). By looking only at the PGM proportion, it is obvious that Os–Ir–Ru alloys are the most frequent PGM (42.9 %), followed by sperrylite (31.1 %), Pt–Fe alloys (23.4 %) and PGE sulfides (2.6 %).

Similarly, to the smaller fraction, the most abundant minerals in the grain size fraction > 63 µm are cassiterite (58 area %) and wolframite (24 area %). The general group of oxides (e.g., uraninite, magnetite, columbite–tantalite), monazite and zircon is 6.7 area %. Due to the processing technique as described above also in the > 63 µm, the PGMs are more abundant than gold; i.e., gold particles are 2.7 area % and PGM 4.5 area %. Platinum-group minerals are sperrylite (2.4 area %), PGE Fe (1.0 area %), PGE alloys (1.1 area %) and PGE sulfides (< 0.1 area %). Regarding PGM proportion, sperrylite is the most frequent PGM (54.9 %), followed by Os–Ir–Ru alloys (23.2 %), Pt–Fe alloys (21.0 %) and PGE sulfides (0.9 %).

4.3 Mineral chemistry of Os–Ir–Ru–Pt alloys

Representative analyses of Os–Ir–Ru–Pt alloys are shown in Table 1. Os–Ir–Ru–Pt alloys form a mineral group with far-reaching substitution shown in ternary variation diagrams (Fig. 6a, b). Mineral names based on their chemistry and crystallography are ruthenium, osmium, iridium and rutheniodisomium (Harris and Cabri, 1991). Ruthenium, osmium and rutheniodisomium are hexagonal, whereas iridium is cubic (Cabri, 2002). For comparison Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys from ophiolites (Hagen et al., 1990; Shcheka et al., 2004; Tolstykh et al., 2002b), Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes (Malitch et al., 2002; Tolstykh et al., 2002a, b) and the Rhine (Oberthür et al., 2016) are plotted in Fig. 6.

In total 146 Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys were analyzed by EPMA (Fig. 6a, b). The Ru concentrations range from 0.12 atom % to 69.47 atom %. The median values of Os and Ir are higher than for Ru (36.65 atom % and 34.90 atom %, respectively). A negative correlation exists between Os and Ir particularly for alloys with Ru concentrations < 33 atom % (Fig. 7a). Similarly, Ru shows a negative correlation with Ir (Fig. 7b). The Fe concentrations are generally below the detection limit but occasionally range up to 9.39 atom %. The alloys containing higher Fe concentrations correlate positively with Ir (Fig. 7c). However, negative correlations exist between Fe and Os (Fig. 7d). Rhodium concentrations are generally below the detection limit but range up to 8.76 atom %. Particles that contain measurable concentrations of Pt are typically Ir-rich, Ru-poor and Os-poor (Fig. 6b). The Pt concentrations range from below the detection limit up to 26.82 atom %.
4.4 Pt–Fe alloys and Pd–Fe alloys

In total 122 Pt–Fe alloys are analyzed showing a broad range of compositional variation (Table 1). The Pt–Fe alloys are grouped into (1) native platinum (> 80 atom %), (2) ferroan Pt (20 atom % to 50 atom % Fe), (3) isoferroplatinum (2.64 to 3.04 apfu of PGE), (4) tetraferroplatinum group with Ni + Cu + Fe ≈ 50 atom % and (5) PGE-(Fe,Cu,Ni) alloys plotting in the phase field of γ (Pt,Fe) with sum PGE > 3.04 apfu. This classification is based on the work of Cabri and Feather (1975), which was recently reviewed and updated by Cabri et al. (2022b). Isoferroplatinum can only be correctly classified by X-ray diffraction, but it is still considered here based on the concentrations of PGE and Fe according to the classification by Cabri et al. (2022b).

The Pt–Fe alloys are Pt-rich ranging from 23.47 atom % to 77.98 atom % (median: 64.27 atom %). The Fe contents range from 4.31 atom % to 33.11 atom % (median: 26.89 atom %). Palladium concentrations are generally close to the detection limit. However, maximum Pd values range up to 46.38 atom %. Figure 8a shows a negative correlation between Pt and Pd. Similarly, Rh is mostly at the level of the detection limit except some particles showing Rh concentrations of up to 15.63 atom %. Iridium concentrations are mainly at or close to the detection limit, but occasionally maximum Ir concentrations of 9.67 atom % are analyzed. Ruthenium values above 1 atom % are shown for five particles (maximum 6.11 atom %). Similarly, Os values reach concentrations above the detection limit in six particles (maximum 6.17 atom %). Nickel and Cu are usually close to the detection limit, but maximum values exist of 6.79 atom % and 18.47 atom %, respectively. Figure 8b shows the Pt versus Fe diagram. In Fig. 8c the correlation between the apfu Fe + Cu + Ni and PGE (based on Z = 4) shows the compositional continuum between the different groups of the Pt–Fe alloys isoferroplatinum, tetraferroplatinum and ferroan platinum. The boundaries between the groups are indicated by gaps in the continuum as proposed by Cabri et al. (2022b). The ternary diagram in Fig. 8d visualizes the variation of the different PGE-Fe alloys with respect to Cu + Ni and indicates the difference of the single tetraferroplatinum grain compared to the other PGE-Fe alloys of the Elbe.

4.5 Native platinum [Pt]

Representative analyses of native platinum particles are shown in Table 1. In total 22 particles with Pt concentrations > 80 atom % are analyzed. The Pt concentrations range from 81.18 atom % to 99.63 atom % (median: 98.63 atom %). Copper, Ni and Fe are usually in range of the respective detection limit. Palladium concentration in one particle is 4.92 atom %; otherwise Pd values are below the detection limit.

4.6 Palladium alloys

Also one Pd-rich particle had 11.76 atom % Pd and Pt concentrations of 74.92 atom % (Table 1). Particularly, one particle has concentrations of 73.69 atom % Pd and 17.74 atom % Pt (Table 1).

4.7 Sperrylite [PtAs₂]

Electron microprobe analysis (n=154) showed that sperrylite is stoichiometric and rather homogenous. Representative analysis of sperrylite is shown in Table 1. Platinum ranges
Figure 3. Reflected-light photomicrographs of gold grains and PGM in oil of the concentrate > 63 µm. (a) (1) pure gold with Hg-bearing gold along rim, (2) sperrylite, (3) native Pt, (4) symplectic intergrowth of sperrylite and native Pt and (5) cassiterite. (b) (1) Ru–Os–Ir alloy, (2) sperrylite, (3) native Pt, (4) wolframite and (5) cassiterite. (c) (1) Ag-rich gold, (2) pure gold, (3) wolframite and (4) cassiterite. (d) (1) Cu-rich gold (Cu : Au ∼ 1 : 1), (2) Hg–Ag-rich gold, (3) wolframite and (5) cassiterite. (e) (1) Ag- and Hg-rich gold (bright center) with Hg-bearing gold along rim, (2) Pt–Fe alloy, (3) Os–Ir alloy, (4) sperrylite, (5) cinnabar, (6) wolframite and (7) cassiterite. (f) (1) Ag-bearing gold, (2) Ag-rich gold with pyrite inclusion, (3) sperrylite, (4) monazite, (5) wolframite and (6) cassiterite.

from 28.11 atom % to 33.49 atom % (median: 32.89 atom %), and the concentrations of As range from 63.65 atom % to 66.59 atom % (median: 66.07 atom %).

4.8 Rustenburgite [Pt₃Sn]

In total 11 particles showed relatively homogenous composition with Sn concentrations ranging from 22.74 atom % to 25.98 atom % (median: 25.55 atom %) and Pt concentrations ranging from 73.65 atom % to 74.23 atom % (median: 73.92 atom %). A representative analysis of rustenburgite particles is shown in Table 1.

4.9 Gold and Au-bearing alloys [Au + Ag,Cu,Hg]

In total 98 Au particles are analyzed, ranging from 39.98 atom % to 99.38 atom % Au (median: 87.26 atom %). These particles range from almost pure Au to Ag- or Cu-bearing Au particles (Table 2). Additionally, Hg above 1 atom % was encountered in 22 particles with maximum values of 26.75 atom %. Four particles hosted Pd concentrations of up to 20.64 atom %, but generally Pd is below the detection limit for majority of gold particles. Copper values above the detection limit range up to 51.01 atom %, and in seven particles Cu is > 1 atom %. Representative analyses of
gold particles (Ag-rich, Ag-poor, Hg-rich and Cu-Pd-rich) are shown in Table 1.

4.10 Cinnabar [HgS]

In addition to PGM and Au particles, 54 cinnabar particles are analyzed. The majority of the cinnabar particles are rather porous. Measurements of apparently non-porous spots of the particles show a relatively homogenous distribution of Hg ranging from 49.07 atom % to 53.21 atom % (median: 51.13 atom %) and S ranging from 46.64 atom % to 50.80 atom % (median: 48.54 atom %).

5 Discussion

The Elbe and Vltava have large drainage areas with different geological lithologies. So far, studies have focused mainly on...
transparency minerals within the Elbe and Vltava, and investigations of opaque minerals such as gold and PGM are very rare (Gutzmer et al., 2013; Kaufmann and Lehmann, 2016; Lehmann, 2010). Heavy mineral concentrates in this study from the Elbe revealed gold and PGM particles of different shapes and chemical composition, as well as various degrees of alteration processes. The differences in chemical compositions and morphological features argue for multiple events from low to high temperatures and different source regions. Placer deposits of PGM exist worldwide, and the chemical compositions and morphology of individual grains are described in detail combined with provenance studies (Cabri et al., 1996, 2022a; Weiser, 2002). Compositional variation of PGM can also provide further evidence for formation processes as well as mineral chemical properties including miscibility gaps and element substitutions. Therefore, distinct features of individual PGM groups can provide evidence for the geological setting of the source region as well as postmagmatic processes affecting both physical and chemical properties of the particles.

5.1 Chemical zoning of gold particles

Typically, the zoning of gold particles consisting of Ag-rich cores and Ag-poor rims (Fig. 3c, e) can be explained by the greater mobility of Ag relative to Au under pH and Eh conditions present in meteoric environment as well as in laterites (Bowles, 1986; Fairbrother et al., 2012; Mann, 1984; Reith et al., 2012). This observation was described in various placer and laterite studies worldwide (Bowell, 1992; Desborough, 1970; Groen et al., 1990; Larizzatti et al., 2008; Oberthür et al., 2016; Suh and Lehmann, 2003) and confirmed here for the Elbe as well.

Copper-rich gold particles are well known in the literature (Chapman et al., 2009, 2021; Moles et al., 2013), and the order–disorder are described in the Au–Cu phase diagram
Some gold particles contain Hg-rich rims (Figs. a, e, 4d, f). It is generally assumed that most Hg found in Au placers is a result of pollution from the amalgamation process used during Au extraction or other anthropogenic influences (Chapman et al., 2009, 2017). Natural Au–Ag–Hg alloys were found in the Las Cruces ore deposit, in the eastern part of the Iberian Pyrite Belt located in a strongly leached black shale horizon (Yesares et al., 2014). In Las Cruces, supergene processes are assumed to be the main source for Hg-rich gold particles (Yesares et al., 2014). Experimental studies showed that the mobilization of Hg during the dissolution of cinnabar is sufficient to form natural Au–Hg amalgam in downstream placer settings (Holley et al., 2007). Therefore the natural dissolution of cinnabar can be expected in oxidative, fluvial environments (Holley et al., 2007). Similarly, experiments on a simulated stream sediment showed that Hg followed by local mobilization of Hg and amalgamation of the gold (Oberthür and Saager, 1986). Natural Au–Ag–Hg alloys were found in the Las Cruces ore deposit, in the eastern part of the Iberian Pyrite Belt located in a strongly leached black shale horizon (Yesares et al., 2014). In Las Cruces, supergene processes are assumed to be the main source for Hg-rich gold particles (Yesares et al., 2014). Experimental studies showed that the mobilization of Hg during the dissolution of cinnabar is sufficient to form natural Au–Hg amalgam in downstream placer settings (Holley et al., 2007). Therefore the natural dissolution of cinnabar can be expected in oxidative, fluvial environments (Holley et al., 2007). Similarly, experiments on a simulated stream sediment showed that Hg
Figure 7. Compositional variation of Os–Ir–Ru–(Pt) alloys (n = 146). (a) Ir and Os concentrations showing two groups of Ru > 33 atom % and Ru < 33 atom %. (b) Correlation between Ir and Ru for alloys with Os concentrations < 50 atom % and no correlation for alloys with Os values > 50 atom %. (c) Fe and Ir concentrations showing correlation of Fe and Pt with Ir. (d) Fe and Os concentrations, where Fe and Pt are generally associated with alloys of low Os concentrations.

5.2 Abundances and distribution of PGM in the Elbe

It was shown previously by Oberthür et al. (2016) that within the Rhine the PGM assemblage is dominated by Ru–Os–Ir alloys (69.6 %), Pt–Fe alloys (14.9 %), sperrylite (9.4 %) and other PGMs (together 7.2 %). In the Rhine, these authors did not observe any Rh- and Pd-dominated PGM or any PGE sulfides (except for rare grains of laurite–erlichmanite). In the Danube, frequent occurrence of Ir–Os alloys is described as well (Dill et al., 2009). Similarly to the present study from the Elbe, Os–Ir–Ru alloys, as well as Pt–Fe alloys and sperrylite, are the most frequent PGMs; other PGMs such as PGE sulfides and sulfarsenides are rare (Table 3). In this work we used area % instead of frequency data, as area % provides more accurate information on the entire platinum-group mineral budget rather than simple particle counting. Therefore, due to the larger average particle size, sperrylite is underestimated by looking at frequency data (Fig. 5). On the other hand, PGE alloys are overestimated compared to area % (Fig. 5). Nevertheless, the relative abundance of the platinum-group minerals is still comparable.

The heavy mineral concentrates of the Elbe showed that Ru–Os–Ir alloys, Pt–Fe alloys and sperrylite are the most
frequent PGMs. However, PGE bismuthotellurides and PGE sulfides (such as cooperite/braggite and laurite) are very rare. Similar PGM assemblages as found in the Elbe have been described from ophiolite-hosted chromitites and associated placer deposits worldwide (Economou-Eliopoulos, 1996; González-Jiménez et al., 2009; Tolstykh et al., 2009; Weiser, 2002) as well as Uralian–Alaskan-type intrusions (Barkov et al., 2005; Johan, 2006; Malitch and Thalhammer, 2002; Tolstykh et al., 2004).

The PGM particles detected in the Elbe experienced multiple events of modifications after their formation. Postmagmatic, supergene processes often caused a heterogeneous distribution, oxidation and partly leaching of PGM grains, which is visualized by compositional differences between core and rim of individual grains (Fig. 3a, b). In general, the PGMs are of primary origin and formed during high-temperature processes as shown by other studies (Cabri et al., 2022a). The secondary processes caused compositional modifications of PGMs under supergene, low-temperature conditions, as similarly observed in laterites and near-surface PGE ore bodies (Aiglsperger et al., 2017; Bowles, 1986; Bowles et al., 2017; Junge et al., 2019; Korges et al., 2021; Locmelis et al., 2010; Oberthür, 2018; Oberthür et al., 2013b; Oberthür and Melcher, 2005; Zaccarini et al., 2013). The different mobility of metals results in a distinct compositional pattern and zoning of PGM grains. Fracturing during transportation allows infiltration of low-temperature meteoric waters and results in chemical modification within individual particles. The general shape and form of individual particles already argues for at least partly distal source areas due to longer transportation distances.

### Table 2.

Composition of representative gold particles (in wt %, atom % and calculated apfu based on \( Z = 1 \)). Compositional differences exist between Ag-rich cores, Ag-poor rims, Hg-rich rims and one Cu–Pd gold particle.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Pd</th>
<th>Ag</th>
<th>Au</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>Sum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elbe &gt; 63 µm MLA_188a gold</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>99.73</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>100.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbe &gt; 63 µm MLA_177 gold (Ag)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>90.10</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbe &gt; 63 µm MLA_166a Cu–Au alloy</td>
<td>26.05</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>72.89</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>101.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elbe &gt; 63 µm MLA_192 gold (Hg)</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>bdl</td>
<td>76.76</td>
<td>22.20</td>
<td>99.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3.

Relative proportions of PGM investigated in the Elbe (this study), Rhine (Oberthür et al., 2016) and Danube (Dill et al., 2009):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PGM</th>
<th>Elbe</th>
<th>Rhine</th>
<th>Danube</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sperrylite</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt–Fe</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Os–Ir–Ru alloy</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGE sulfide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>rare</td>
<td>rare</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.3 Mineral compositions of Os–Ir–Ru alloys

Compositional variations were first shown on a ternary plot by Cabri (1972). The first nomenclature of Os–Ir–Ru alloys is still widely used (Harris and Cabri, 1973, 1991). Os–Ir–Ru alloys are chemically stable in supergene and fluvial environments due to their relatively high hardness with 6–7 on Mohs scale (Cabri, 2002; Cabri et al., 2022a). In general, Os–Ir–Ru alloys are almost absent in placers originating from ultramafic–mafic layered intrusions (Melcher et al., 2005; Oberthür et al., 2004, 2013a, 2014) or within near-surface oxidized ores overlying primary PGE deposits of ultramafic–mafic layered intrusions (Junge et al., 2019; Locmelis et al., 2010; Oberthür, 2018). However, dominance of Os–Ir–Ru alloys is frequently described in fluvial systems and placer deposits originating from ophiolites, Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes and laterites overlying ophiolites (Aiglsperger et al., 2017; Cabri et al., 2022a; González-Jiménez et al., 2009; Johann, 2002; Tolstykh et al., 2005; Weiser, 2002). Figure 6a shows the compositional variation of Os–Ir–Ru alloys from ophiolites (Hagen et al., 1990; Shcheka et al., 2004; Tol-
Native osmium has also been described in the Guli ultramafic massif in northern Siberia (Malitch and Lopatin, 1997; Malitch et al., 2002; Merkle et al., 2012), in ophiolites and Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes (Malitch et al., 2002; Merkle et al., 2012), in the Elbe (Fig. 7a). The Os–Ir–Ru alloys from the Rhine (Oberthür et al., 2016) and the Danube (Dill et al., 2009, 2010; Oberthür, 2018). In general, a negative correlation between Pt and Os exists in placer deposits worldwide (Barkov and Cabri, 2019). Elevated concentrations of Ir (several wt %) are described from Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes as well as chromitite deposits, whereas Ir concentrations are low (< 0.3 wt %) from layered intrusions (Barkov and Cabri, 2019). The concentrations of the Pt–Fe alloys of this study range up to 9.67 atom % Ir (median 0.67 atom %), which are higher than the concentrations from layered intrusions. The concentrations therefore are rather similar to those published for Kondyor and Nizhny Tagil (Barkov and Cabri, 2019; Malitch and Thalhammer, 2002; Nekrasov et al., 2005). In this study, Pt–Fe alloys contained Pd concentrations of up to 46.38 atom %. The compositional variation of major and minor elements in PGE alloys is manifold, but major incorporations are Cu and Ni (Augé and Legendre, 1992; Barkov and Cabri, 2019). Plotting the range of data on PGE Fe demonstrates a miscibility gap between the ordered Pt;Fe structure and the disordered structure of native or ferroan platinum (Barkov and Cabri, 2019; Cabri et al., 2022b), which can be seen in Fig. 8c.

5.5 Mineral compositions of sperrylite

The mineral chemistry of sperrylite is generally homogenous. One sperrylite grain showed elevated concentrations of Pd (2.90 atom %), Rh (0.64 atom %) and Ir (1.07 atom %), but lower Pt values (28.11 atom %). This indicates that Pd, Rh and Ir can substitute for Pt in the sperrylite structure. High concentrations of Pd up to 10.36 atom % in sperrylite are reported by Gutierrez-Narbona et al. (2003). Similarly, S substitutes for As as shown by sperrylite with relatively lower As values but slightly higher S concentrations. Antimony shows similar but less pronounced behavior as S, as a few sperrylite grains had Sb values above the detection limit correlating with As values below the median values.

5.6 Mineralogical modification of platinum-group minerals

Comparing pristine and overlying near-surface oxidized PGE ores as well as studying alluvial and eluvial PGM showed that different stabilities of PGMs exist and therefore PGE phases react differently on weathering processes (Cabri et al., 2022a; Junge et al., 2019; Kraemer et al., 2015, 2017;
Figure 8. Compositional variability of the different Pt–Fe alloys classified as isoferroplatinum \((n = 93)\), ferroan platinum \((n = 16)\), \(\gamma(\text{Pt,Fe})\) \((n = 14)\) and tetraferroplatinum \((n = 1)\). (a) Pt versus Pd in atom % showing a negative correlation. (b) Fe versus Pt visualizing the different compositional groups. (c) Good correlation between Fe + Cu + Ni [apfu] and PGE [apfu], showing corresponding element substitutions. The black dots correspond to the compositional boundaries as proposed by Cabri et al. (2022b). (d) Ternary plot of Fe–PGE–Cu + Ni to visualize the proportion of Fe in relation to Ni + Cu in PGE Fe alloys.

Locmelis et al., 2010; Oberthür, 2018; Oberthür et al., 2013a, 2014). This observation agrees with the findings of this study (Fig. 3a, b). The intergrowth of native platinum with sperrylite and the rim of platinum surrounding sperrylite argues for a late-stage modification of the sperrylite particle by releasing As. A surface coating of platinum on sperrylite grains was shown in supergene PGM deposits such as the Great Dyke, the Bushveld Complex and the Luanga Complex, and in the Rhine (Cabri et al., 2022a; Garuti and Zaccarini, 2021; Oberthür, 2018; Oberthür et al., 2016). Therefore, sperrylite can be altered to native Pt under non-tropical weathering and remains in situ, whereas arsenic is dispersed (Cabri et al., 2022a).

5.7 Source regions of PGM, Au and other heavy minerals

The geological drainage systems of the Elbe and Vltava cover various geological units of granitoids, gneisses, migmatites, ultramafic, gabbroic and sedimentary rocks. The large abundance of Os–Ir–Ru alloys as well as Pt–Fe alloys and sperrylite argues for ophiolites and Uralian–Alaskan-type complexes as the source regions. The geochemical character of Au and PGM rather requires ultramafic and gabbroic lithologies. Minor and smaller occurrences of gabbroic and ultramafic rocks are known from the drainage system (Ackerman et al., 2013; Fediu, 2005; Járöka et al., 2019, 2021, 2023; Pašava et al., 2003, 2015) and are described below.
In general, Os–Ir–Ru alloys from the Elbe are well-rounded. In comparison, sperrylite and Pt–Fe alloys show rather euhedral shapes. This difference in the morphology of the PGM observed in the concentrate from the Elbe argues for different transportation distances and therefore different source areas.

The Ransko gabbro–peridotite massif (Fig. 1) hosts low-grade Ni–Cu–(PGE) ores, which are assumed to represent a liquid segregation style of mineralization (Ackerman et al., 2013; Pašava et al., 2003). The PGM distribution of the Ransko gabbro–peridotite massif mainly includes michenerite and froodite, i.e., PGE bismuthotellurides rather than Os–Ir–Ru and Pt–Fe alloys (Pašava et al., 2003). The Ransko gabbro–peridotite massif resembles Uralian–Alaskan-type intrusions (Pašava et al., 2003). Based on the geotectonic position and estimated age, the origin of the Ransko Massif is assumed to be formed during the post-Cadomian extension period, which was accompanied by the emplacement of numerous magmatic bodies in the Bohemian Massif (Pašava et al., 2003).

In addition to the Ransko gabbro–peridotite massif, in the drainage area of the Elbe various gabbroic and ultramafic intrusions and dikes hosting Ni–Cu–(PGE) sulfide mineralization and gold exist in Lusatia (Járóka et al., 2019, 2021, 2023; Sandmann and Gutzmer, 2014). At the Angstberg intrusion as well as the Sohland–Rožany Ni–Cu–(PGE) sulfide mineralization, PGMs are dominated by Pt–Pd bismuthotellurides and minor sperrylite (Járóka et al., 2019, 2021). This PGM assemblage is similar to the Ransko gabbro–peridotite massif. Similarly, at Kunratice in Bohemia (6 km southwest of Sohland–Rožany) the PGM assemblage is dominated by Pd bismuthotellurides and sperrylite (Sandmann and Gutzmer, 2014). However, studies of placers worldwide showed that Pt–Pd bismuthotellurides are relatively unstable and are therefore rarely observed in stream sediments (Oberthür, 2018; Oberthür et al., 2016). Therefore, the large compositional variation of Os–Ir–Ru and Pt–Fe alloys argues for different source regions (Fig. 6b). However, a good correlation exists between the Os-poor Os–Ir–Ru alloys of this study and the Vestřev pyrope-rich garnet placer (Pašava et al., 2015). Similarly, inclusions of Pt–Fe alloys and Os–Ir–Ru alloys were observed in this study (Fig. 4c) and the Vestřev placer (Pašava et al., 2015), which argue for a source from the Vestřev placer as well. The alloys with higher Os concentrations should derive from Uralian–Alaskan types as these are typically rich in Os (Cabri et al., 2022a).

Platinum-group minerals, including Os–Ir–Ru alloys, are also observed from chondritic as well as iron meteorites which do have similarites to the observed composition of the Elbe (Bischoff et al., 2011; Geiger and Bischoff, 1995; Ma et al., 2014; Schulze et al., 1994). To some extent the observed PGMs can also be of extraterrestrial source as the accumulation of micrometeorites or meteorite dust has similar compositions of Os–Ir–Ru, and Pt–Fe alloys are described from meteorites (Baumgartner et al., 2017; Bischoff and Palme, 1987; Ma et al., 2014).

In the drainage area different source areas for cinnabar exist such as the Horní Luby ore district (Veleblí and Zacharias, 2013) and the Jedová Hora (Hojdová et al., 2008; Pelcová et al., 2022; Sysalová et al., 2017). Gold occurrences are typically present in a larger range of rock types compared to PGM ranging from felsic, mafic to ultramafic rocks. Therefore, also different regions and lithologies might be the source for the gold grains shown in this study. Larger gold mineralization occurs within the Mokrsko-West gold deposit (Zachariáš, 2016; Zachariáš et al., 2014). The deposit is mainly hosted by tonalite of the Central Bohemian Plutonic Complex (Zachariáš et al., 2014). The total gold resource of the Mokrsko gold deposit is about 140 t (Morávek et al., 1989). However, the shape of the gold particles of the Elbe rather argues for short transportation distances as the gold particles are rather large and angled. Previous studies showed that the variation of gold particle size and morphology provides evidence for the transportation distances such as in the Lusatia gabbroic dikes and in other locations in Saxony close to Freiberg (Járóka et al., 2021, 2023; Lehmann, 2010). Gold particles with irregular shapes and with larger particle size in the centimeter-scale argue for short transportation distances, whereas rounded and smaller particles sizes experienced longer transportation in the river (Grant et al., 1991).
The cassiterite, ferberite, monazite, uraninite, tantalite, magnetite and zircon particles can be sourced from the Variscan granites, which are abundant in the drainage area of the Elbe and the Vltava.

6 Conclusions

Heavy mineral concentrates from the Elbe demonstrate that gold and PGM particles show different textures and morphology. The large quantities of PGMs analyzed by MLA demonstrate that Pt–Fe alloys and Os–Ir–Ru alloys are the most abundant PGMs in the Elbe. These PGM grains exist as monomineralic particles or as intergrowths with other minerals. Particles that contain measurable concentrations of Pt are typically Ir-rich, Ru-poor and Os-poor. The compositional variation of the Pt–Fe alloys showed substitution of Pt with the base metals Fe, Ni and Cu. Minor concentrations of Pd and Rh are detected in Pt–Fe. Gold particles are typically zoned consisting of Ag-poor rims and Ag-rich cores due to the greater mobility of Ag during weathering processes. Mercury-rich rims of the gold particles are the result of in situ amalgamation due to local mobilization of Hg from cinnabar. Similarly to gold, also PGM can be affected by alteration causing for example the modification of sperrylite resulting in a platinum-rich rim and a sperrylite core. Potential source regions for the PGM are the Vestˇrev and the gabbroic dike of the Lusatia block mineralization. These potential sources for the PGM sampled from the Elbe differ slightly in the mineralogical compositions. Therefore, a mix of different source regions can contribute to the heavy mineral concentrate observed in this study.
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