40Ar/39Ar dating of a hydrothermal pegmatitic buddingtonite–muscovite assemblage from Volyn, Ukraine
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Abstract. We determined 40Ar/39Ar ages of buddingtonite, occurring together with muscovite, with the laser-ablation method. This is the first attempt to date the NH4-feldspar buddingtonite, which is typical for sedimentary–diagenetic environments of sediments, rich in organic matter, or in hydrothermal environments, associated with volcanic geyser systems. The sample is a hydrothermal breccia, coming from the Paleoproterozoic pegmatite field of the Korosten Plutonic Complex, Volyn, Ukraine. A detailed characterization by optical methods, electron microprobe analyses, backscattered electron imaging, and IR analyses showed that the buddingtonite consists of euhedral-appearing platy crystals of tens of micrometers wide, 100 or more micrometers in length, which consist of fine-grained fibers of ≤1 µm thickness. The crystals are sector and growth zoned in terms of K–NH4–H2O content. The content of K allows for an age determination with the 40Ar/39Ar method, as well as in the accompanying muscovite, intimately intergrown with the buddingtonite. The determinations on muscovite yielded an age of 1491 ± 9 Ma, interpreted as the hydrothermal event forming the breccia. However, buddingtonite apparent ages yielded a range of 563 ± 14 Ma down to 383 ± 12 Ma, which are interpreted as reset ages due to Ar loss of the fibrous buddingtonite crystals during later heating. We conclude that buddingtonite is suited for 40Ar/39Ar age determinations as a supplementary method, together with other methods and minerals; however, it requires a detailed mineralogical characterization, and the ages will likely represent minimum ages.

1 Introduction

Buddingtonite, the monoclinic (space group P21/m) ammonium feldspar (NH4AlSi3O8), occurs in two geological settings: in igneous rocks in hydrothermal environments, such as volcanic geyser fields (Erd et al., 1964), and in sediments rich in organic matter (OM), such as coal seams (Dai et al., 2018), oil shales (e.g., Loughnan et al., 1983; Patterson et al., 1988), phosphorites (Gulbranssen, 1974), black shales (e.g., Harlov et al., 2001), and sandstones (Ramseyer et al., 1993). It frequently occurs together with clay minerals such as illite or montmorillonite with a high content of NH4 (e.g., Erd et al., 1964; Voncken et al., 1993) and is the source of molecular N2 in natural gases (Krooss et al., 2005). Buddingtonite is often characterized as a “nondescript and amorphous” appearing mineral (e.g., Pampeyan, 2010), mostly fine-grained or in micrometer-wide rims on K-feldspar. It is a trace component in pegmatic feldspar (Solomon and Rossman, 1988) but forms continuous solid solution with K-feldspar (Pöther et al., 2007; Svenson et al., 2008), which might be formed either due to partial replacement of igneous or detrital K-feldspar or together with authigenic K-feldspar. Analogous to the K-feldspar–buddingtonite solid solution, there exists a muscovite–tobelite solid solution K4AlSi3O10(OH)2-NH4AlSi3O10(OH)2, and K–NH4-bearing micas and feldspar frequently occur together. The K content in buddingtonite would allow applying the K–Ar decay system to date the formation of such buddingtonite–K-feldspar solid solutions, which would give valuable time information for a diagenetic or a hydrothermal event. In addi-
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2 Sample and methods

2.1 Sample description and geological background

The Volyn pegmatite field at Khoroshiv (former Volodarsk-Volynsky) is situated in the northwestern part of the Pre cambrian Ukrainian Shield (Fig. 1a). The pegmatites are associated with the Paleoproterozoic Korosten anorthosite–mangerite–charnockite–granite plutonic complex in the border facies of rapakivi-type granites (Fig. 1b). A summary of descriptions of these pegmatites is found in Lyckberg et al. (2009, 2019, and references therein). Intrusion ages of the pluton range from 1.84 to 1.72 Ga (Shumlyanskyy et al., 2017), and the ages of the granite (1766 ± 3 Ma) and pegmatite (1760 ± 3 Ma) near to Volyn were determined on zircon by U–Pb dating with secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS; Shumlyanskyy et al., 2021).

The rock sample (Fig. 2) was collected in 2008 at the waste dump at Khoroshiv. It is a pegmatite breccia, cemented by opal, and contains fragments of pegmatitic minerals (mainly alkali-feldspar, quartz) and a pseudomorph after pegmatic beryl, described in detail in Franz et al. (2017). This pseudomorph consists of muscovite + buddingtonite together with Be-mineral bertrandite and minor euclase (and other rare minerals). The simplified formation reaction is 4 beryl + 4 K-feldspar + 7 H₂O = 3 bertrandite + 4 muscovite + 18 quartz. It also contains organic matter (OM), which shows different stages of oxygenation, accompanied by loss of N. Dissolved N as NH₄⁺ transformed K-feldspar into buddingtonite–K-feldspar solid solutions and partly transformed muscovite into tobelite. The primary organic matter was found in mica- lotic cavities of the pegmatites as fibrous fossils consisting of kerite (highly mature OM; Gorlenko et al., 2000; Zhmur, 2003; Franz et al., 2017). The cementing opal with a macroscopic black color is characterized by brown pigmentation in thin section, which is due to carbon hydrates included in the opal (Franz et al., 2017).

Three blocks of approximately 1 cm² in size from the cut surface of the pseudomorph sample V2008 (Fig. 2) were polished for investigation by back scattered electron (BSE) images in the electron microprobe (EMPA) at ZE electron microscopy, TU Berlin. Two blocks were finally used for analyses: one with a large amount of buddingtonite, and the other with abundant muscovite. BSE images are provided in Figs. 3 and 4.

The textural context of muscovite and buddingtonite is critical for the interpretation of the results of the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating and is therefore described here, based on previous investigations of this sample (see also Franz et al., 2017, for more BSE images of textures). Muscovite is lath-shaped in randomly oriented crystals or packages of crystals of up to 100 µm perpendicular to {001} and several hundreds of micrometers long (Figs. 3, 5a, b, c). They partly enclose buddingtonite (Fig. 5b) and in areas close to buddingtonite, a lower BSE contrast indicates a significant
NH₄-(tobelite) component (Fig. 5c). An average analysis is 
(NH₄)₀.₂₀K₁.₇₆Na₀.₀₀₀₇Ca₀.₀₀₃₆Al₁.₅₅₅₇Fe³⁺₀.₂₁₉Mg₀.₀₃₅Mn₀.₀₀₄ 
Ti₀.₀₀₁₃Si₆₈₅₁₆₈O₂₉Fe₀.₄₆₁(OH)₁.₅₃₉; the maximum tobelite component is 25 mol %. X-ray mapping confirms the replacement of K by NH₄ (see Fig. 5 in Franz et al., 2017).

Crystals without detectable tobelite component can be high in F, in some analyses with > 50 mol % of the F-muscovite endmember, concentrated mostly in the core of crystals. Beryllium, B, and Li were checked by SIMS and were found to be on the parts-per-million level. Analysis with high Si indicates intergrowths below the resolution of the EMPA with a silica phase like opal/tridymite (based on X-ray diffraction investigation).

Buddingtonite occurs dominantly in a network of euhedral, platy-appearing crystals (Fig. 4), together with F-muscovite and opal (Fig. 5a), and replacing K-feldspar results in oriented overgrowths. Buddingtonite appears as crystals several tens of micrometers large with typical sector zoning (Fig. 5d, e, f). Important for the interpretation of the ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating results is that euhedral-appearing crystals consist of micrometer-sized fibers indicated by a slightly different extinction position in thin section. Solid solution of dominantly NH₄–K creates a strong variation in BSE contrast. There are also intermediate euhedral-fibrous textures (Fig. 5e) with clear zoning in the core, extending outward into fibrous aggregates. Most of the crystals are sector zoned (Fig. 6; from Franz et al., 2017, with permission of the Mineralogical Society of America).

Buddingtonite is not stable under a focused beam (Fig. 5d). An average of 13 analyses (beam diameter of 15 μm, which averages over heterogeneities within a crystal) yields a formula Na₀.₀₂₀₃K₀.₂₀⁴(NH₄)₀.₶₃₃H₂O₀.₁₅[Si₃.₀₃Al₁.₀₁Fe³⁺₀.₀₂₃O₈] but with a strong variation for K between 0.09 and 0.27 cations per formula unit (cpfu) and 0.28 and 0.96 cpfu for NH₄. X-ray mapping (WDS) of the major components (Figs. 4 and 5 in Franz et al., 2017) and BSE images (Fig. 5d, e, f) highlight the zoning. The amount of H₂O is estimated from 1.₀₀₀(Na + K + NH₄); the presence of “water”, either as H₂O or H₂O⁺, was verified by infrared spectroscopy. We concluded that from crystal to crystal and within the crystals the amount of substitution NH₄–Na–K in combination with H₂O differs. Trace elements Ti, Mg, Mn, Ca, Cs, and Rb are always close to or below the detection limit (≤ 0.₀₁ wt % oxide). A “water” content has been predicted to occur in buddingtonite and is discussed by Barker (1964), Laricheva et al. (1993), and Harlov et al. (2001), and this “water”
content in combination with NH$_4$ might be the reason for the sensitivity of the crystals to the electron beam.

Another observation from the pegmatites and the breccia with the pseudomorph, critical for the interpretation of the ages, is the occurrence of black opal (Gigashvili and Kalyuzhnyi, 1969). This type of opal contains carbohydrates (Franz et al., 2017), which cause the black appearance, with a brown color in thin section. The carbohydrates originate from decaying OM (Fig. 7a), and the opal is found also in cracks within the OM. These textural observations indicate that it is one of the last precipitates in the breccia. Furthermore, it completely encloses betrandite (Fig. 7b) and buddingtonite (Fig. 7c). It is characterized by zonal BSE contrast (Fig. 7b, d), probably caused by different water and carbohydrate content, and is also surrounding muscovite with parts rich in tobelite component (Figs. 6e, 4b). It also contains small ($\leq$ 1 µm) inclusions of other minerals, possibly Al-silicates and Ca-sulfate (Fig. 7f; energy dispersive spectroscopy – EDS – spectrum). Opal shows strong zoning where the dark areas (lower average atomic weight number) are assumed to be opal-A with a higher content of H$_2$O than the light areas, which is opal-CT (cf. Day and Jones, 2008). The common transition from opal-A (amorphous) to quartz goes via opal-CT (see Graetsch, 1994).

**Figure 4.** BSE mosaic image of sample V2008a: polished piece of the pseudomorph, prepared for irradiation, rich in buddingtonite (dark gray BSE contrast, compared to light gray BSE contrast of opal). Crystals with black BSE contrast are bertrandite, and crystals with white BSE contrast are alkali feldspar. Numbers refer to analysis number in Table 1 (test runs 1 and 2 not marked), and red spots indicate laser-ablation spots. Three shots were used for one analysis except for analysis 7, a relict K-feldspar.

### 2.2 Methods

The $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar analyses have been performed at the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar geochronology laboratory in the University of Potsdam. Samples were prepared as thick sections, and the in situ analyses with Nd-YAG UV pulse laser were done following the BSE images of the surfaces. The system of the in situ analyses with a Micromass 5400 noble gas mass spectrometer was the same as described in Hallama et al. (2014). Neutron activation of the samples was performed at the CLICIT (Cadmium-Lined In-Core Irradiation Tube) facility of Oregon State TRIGA Reactor (OSTR), USA. Samples were irradiated for 4h together with the neutron flux monitoring mineral, Fish Canyon Tuff sanidine prepared at the Geological Survey of Japan (27.5 Ma; Uto et al., 1997; Ishizuka, 1998; Lanphere and Baadsgaard, 2001), and the salts of K$_2$SO$_4$ and CaF$_2$ for correction of interference. The actual analyses were conducted by MassSpec software developed by Alan Deino at Berkeley Geochronology Center, USA, and the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age calculation was done following Uto et al. (1997). The decay constants of $^{40}$K (λ(40Kb\_−): 4.962 × 10^{-10} yr\(^{-1}\)); λ(40K\_+): 0.581 × 10^{-10} yr\(^{-1}\)) and the value of atmospheric $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar (295.5) follow Steiger and Jäger (1977). The spot sizes of the ablated area used were 50 µm for muscovite in sample V2008b and 100 µm for buddingtonite and K-feldspar in sample V2008a.

### 3 Results

Results of Ar isotope measurements are given with relative Ar isotopic ratios in Table 1. All the errors obtained by $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating (Table 1, Fig. 7) are indicated as 1σ errors. For buddingtonite, we obtained 11 ablation spots. One spot was measured on a small grain of relict K-feldspar, enclosed in buddingtonite. The result of this measurement confirms a high age (930 Ma), interpreted as a reset age from the igneous crystallization/cooling event, which should be near 1.7 Ga. For muscovite we had obtained eight ablation spots, which show a restricted range of calculated ages varying from 1439 ± 22 to 1545 ± 28 Ma, and allowing for the calculation of a weighted mean age of 1491 ± 9 Ma (Fig. 8). To evaluate possible excess $^{40}$Ar we plotted the normal isochron diagrams ($^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar vs. $^{39}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar) and the inverse isochron diagrams ($^{36}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar vs. $^{39}$Ar/$^{40}$Ar) by assuming all the results have the common same age. Both isochron plots show similar ages compared to the obtained ages by each in situ analysis, and the estimated initial $^{40}$Ar/$^{36}$Ar also agrees (within 2σ) with the atmospheric value. Therefore, the probability that muscovite contains excess $^{40}$Ar is very small and does not significantly influence the actual obtained ages. Furthermore, Scibiorsky et al. (2021) report that excess $^{40}$Ar is more common in metamorphic rocks than in igneous rocks and that one of the conditions for retaining excess Ar is a low permeabil-
Figure 5. BSE images of the buddingtonite–muscovite assemblage. (a, b) Overview: lath-shaped crystals with white BSE contrast are muscovite, buddingtonite is dark gray, and opal is light gray. White pore space, filled by epoxy. (c) Contact of muscovite to buddingtonite; arrows point to change in BSE contrast in muscovite, indicating a significant tobelite component. (d) Buddingtonite with sector zoning and relict K-feldspar in opal matrix. Black arrows point to enrichment of the K-feldspar component in the outermost rim. White, round dots are areas of beam damage due to analysis. (e) Outer part of an oscillatory zoned buddingtonite crystal; darker areas are rich in N and H$_2$O. Note fibrous character with individual fibers ≤ 1 μm. (f) Sector zoned crystal, approximately 10 μm wide, with < 1 μm outgrowths at its tip (arrow). Small numbers in (a) and (d) refer to analyses published in Franz et al. (2017).

ity of the rocks, which prevents fluids carrying Ar to leave the rock, such as in eclogites and blueschists. However, the breccia was formed in the uppermost crust and has a high porosity; therefore it is unlikely that excess Ar (possibly produced in the K-rich surrounding pegmatites) was retained.

In contrast, the apparent buddingtonite ages show a wide range of much younger ages from 383 ± 12 to 563 ± 14 Ma, indicating significant resetting by Ar loss. The age-probability curve is shown in Fig. 7. We also calculated $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages with the recently proposed decay constants of $^{40}$K by Renne et al. (2011), considering the comparison with Rb–Sr ages according to the method of Villa et al. (2015). The ages calculated with these decay constants are maximal 5 Myr older at 500 Ma and maximal 11 Myr older at 1500 Ma. The 1σ errors on all the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar ages indicated in this study are the external errors including the error on

Figure 6. Schematic drawing of sector zoning in buddingtonite and corresponding BSE images. The a sectors and the core are enriched in NH$_4$, and b and c sectors are K-rich and appear with brighter BSE contrast. Note the fibrous character of the euhedral-appearing crystals (from Franz et al., 2017; with permission of the Mineralogical Society of America).

4 Discussion

The sample, with a high porosity partly filled with opal (Figs. 2, 4b), was collected from the waste dump, having been exposed to weathering conditions for several years. However, the EMPA showed a completely filled interlayer position of muscovite, and therefore we assume that leaching of K–Ar from muscovite was minor, and spots for laser ablation were always chosen in the central parts of the crystals. The eight spot analyses range from 1439 ± 22 to 1545 ± 28 Ma (Table 1). The mean calculated age of 1491 ± 9 Ma (Fig. 8b) is considered to be a geologically meaningful age. Closure temperature for the K–Ar system in muscovite is in the range of 300–400 °C (e.g., Rainers and Brandon, 2006), and since the formation temperature of the pseudomorph was ≤ 200 °C (Franz et al., 2017), the age of 1491 Ma is near to the crystallization age. It is known that in the absence of dynamic recrystallization – which is the case for the pseudomorph –, white mica preserves the Ar isotope record (Di Vicenzo et al., 2001). We do see, however, indications for fluid-induced reprecipitation of the muscovite by tobelite (Fig. 5b, c), and that these reactions strongly influence the Ar–Ar system is seen in muscovite, for example, by Naumenko-Dèzes et al. (2021). The influence on the muscovite ages by this replacement is discussed below in the context of the age data of buddingtonite.

The large time difference between crystallization of the pegmatites (1760 ± 3 Ma; Shumlyanskyy et al., 2021) of approximately 270 Ma indicates a hydrothermal event independent of the cooling stage of the pegmatite. Cooling of the pegmatite down to temperatures suitable for microorganisms is also required by the fact that the kerite fossils grew inside the miarolitic cavities.

However, the high F content of the muscovite with up to 50 mol % of the F endmember requires a fluid rich in F (Muñoz and Eugster, 1969), which likely originates from the pegmatitic environment, where topaz and fluorite are common (Lyckberg et al., 2009). This fluid, which circulated in the rocks during the hydrothermal event, also affected the OM; this degraded OM from the original kerite microfossils contains high concentrations of high field strength elements (e.g., ≤ 7 at. % Zr, ≤ 3 at. % Y, ≤ 1.25 at. % U; Franz et al., 2017), and we conclude that the fluid was able to dissolve Zr minerals and others. Fluid inclusion studies also point to a high CO$_2$ content (Voznyak et al., 2012). An HF-bearing fluid must have been also responsible for a large amount of silica, which precipitated as carbohydrate-bearing opal and cemented the breccia.

Textural information on the close association of muscovite and buddingtonite indicate that both minerals were formed together in a single hydrothermal event, with precipitation of
black opal as the last precipitate (Fig. 7), enclosing buddingtonite and muscovite, and also in cracks of the degraded organic matter. The textures of muscovite, replaced by tobelite, and the growth zoning in buddingtonite (Fig. 5d, e) and in opal (Fig. 7b, d) clearly indicate that the fluid composition changed during the pseudomorph formation, starting with F-dominated K-rich fluids producing pure F-muscovite, followed by alternating NH$_4$-rich and K-rich compositions producing oscillatory growth zones in buddingtonite (Fig. 5e) and ending with a late K-rich fluid (producing some outer K-rich zones in buddingtonite; Fig. 5d).
However, the coeval muscovite–buddingtonite formation could not be confirmed by the age determination. Apparent ages of buddingtonite are much younger, with a large apparent error that could not be confirmed by the age determination. Apparition likely was much faster than volume diffusion and effective grain boundary diffusion along subgrain boundaries increases the diffusion rate by 4–5 orders of magnitude. Nevertheless, Mark et al. (2008) could show that Ar–Ar dating of authigenic K-feldspar, which can be considered a close analogue to buddingtonite because of similar growth conditions, yielded geologically meaningful ages of diagenesis. They argued that the nature of subgrain boundaries in authigenic K-feldspar is significantly different from deuterically produced subgrain boundaries and that grain boundary diffusion was not effective in their samples. However, the buddingtonite subgrains are fibrillated, especially towards their outer parts, and we assume that these microtexturally different subgrain boundaries imply a different diffusion behavior than those of authigenic feldspar, as observed by Mark et al. (2008).

We also pointed out that the crystals are heterogeneous in terms of K–NH₄O-H₂O (Figs. 4, 5), and re-equilibration between different sectors and zones would facilitate Ar diffusion out of the lattice (though to a minor degree since this must have occurred at temperatures \( T \geq 200 \) °C, at which re-equilibration is very slow). The “water” content of the buddingtonite crystals might facilitate diffusion due to the easy proton exchange with surrounding oxygen, especially at higher temperature, that destabilizes the structure. The K–O and O–H₂O bond strengths are likely in the same order of magnitude, which is low considering the K–O stretch-
Figure 8. Results of $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dating for (a) buddingtonite and (b) muscovite. Whereas the muscovite ages show a restricted range varying from 1439 ± 22 to 1545 ± 28 Ma, allowing the calculation of a mean age of 1491 ± 9 Ma, the apparent buddingtonite ages ranging from 383 ± 12 to 563 ± 14 Ma indicate significant resetting of the ages by Ar loss (calculation with decay constants from Steiger and Jäger, 1977; calculations with decay constant for $^{40}$K by Renne et al., 2011, yielded maximal 5 Myr older ages at 500 Ma and maximal 11 Myr older ages at 1500 Ma). The 1σ errors include an error of J value (0.4 %) and thus are external errors.

These reset ages can be explained in the framework of the regional geology of the Ukrainian Shield. The oldest apparent buddingtonite age of 563 ± 14 Ma is coeval with the effusive volcanism of Volyn flood basalts (Volyn LIP; Shumlyanskyy et al., 2016). Although the flood basalt province is in the order of 200 km towards the west from the pegmatite locality at Volyn (Fig. 1a), the formation of such a large volume of basalt over a distance from Poland and Belarus far into Ukraine must have been accompanied by an increased geothermal gradient effecting also the upper crust. A hydrothermal overprint at this time, at temperatures below the closure temperature of muscovite, is also seen in one of the zircon ages presented by Shumlyanskyy et al. (2021), which shows a discordia with a lower intercept at 550 ± 55 Ma.

The further Phanerozoic geological development of the area is poorly restricted, but during the Devonian the Prypyat branch of the Dnieper–Donets paleorift (Shumlyanskyy et al., 2016) developed towards the northeast of the Ukrainian Shield (Fig. 1a). This process was likely accompanied by heating and provides a possible explanation for the youngest reset ages, down to 383 ± 12 Ma.

The age of a hydrothermal event near 1.5 Ga is new for Ukrainian Shield. This age might be correlated with similar apatite ages recently determined in the western Ukrainian Shield (Leonid Shumlyanskyy, personal communication, 2021). The heat source for this event is unknown; it could have been regional deformation, together with low-grade metamorphism. Gorokhov et al. (1981) determined Rb–Sr ages (illite and whole rock) for slightly metamorphosed sediments of the Belokorovichi formation (Ovruch Group), resting on the northern part of the Korosten pluton (Fig. 1b). Recalculated ages (Johannes Glodny, personal communication, 2021) with new decay constants (Villa et al., 2015) yielded for the illite fine fraction 1600 ± 31 Ma (recalculated from 1574 ± 31 Ma), and for the whole-rock isochron 1613 ± 75 Ma (recalculated from 1587 ± 74 Ma), such that the sediments experienced diagenetic and/or metamorphic overprinting possibly at ≈1.5 Ga, the formation age of the breccia. Detrital zircons, separated from metasediments surrounding and partly covering the Korosten Plutonic Complex in the northwestern–northeastern part, the so-called Volyn–Orsha depression (Shumlyanskyy et al., 2015) which extends from Lwow towards the northeast (Fig. 1a), yielded ages between 1500 and 1100 Ma (Polissya sandstone; Shumlyanskyy et al., 2015), indicating felsic magmatism in the source areas. These igneous events might also be correlated with the hydrothermal event in the Volyn area.

5 Summary and conclusions

The sedimentary–diagenetic or hydrothermally formed mineral buddingtonite is very likely suitable for minimum ages only, obtained via $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar laser-ablation age determination. Before analysis, it requires a detailed characterization...
by optical methods, electron microprobe analyses, backscattered electron imaging, and IR spectroscopic study. However, in combination with accompanying minerals such as muscovite in the reported case here, it allows for estimating the age framework of a sedimentary–diagenetic or a hydrothermal event. For the Volyn locality, it furthermore allows for estimating the age of the microfossils, which during decay produced the NH$_4$ content in the fluid to form buddingtonite (and the tobelite component in muscovite), as well as in the black opal; black opal is considered as the last precipitate in the breccia and the pseudomorph; therefore the OM must have been present before its precipitation. The zircon age of 1760 ± 3 Ma gives a clear maximum age for the fossils and the hydrothermal event at 1491 ± 9 Ma a minimum age. The coeval formation of buddingtonite with muscovite is based on textural arguments. A scenario with two hydrothermal events, a first one at 1491 ± 9 Ma followed by a second one near 563 ± 14 Ma, which was responsible for the decay of the microfossils and the production of NH$_4$ and buddingtonite formation is unlikely. It would mean that first a breccia with the beryl pseudomorph reaction was formed and then completely overprinted by a large amount of fluid circulation, dissolving F, Zr, and other high-field-strength elements from the pegmatites, creating a large pore space for the high amount of precipitation of opal. For such a strong event no indications were found, neither from the field geology nor in the geochemical description of the country rocks. We do not consider this as a likely interpretation, but the absolute minimum age of 563 ± 14 Ma clearly indicates a Precambrian age of the fossils.

The laser-ablation method for $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar age determination has the advantage of in-situ-controlled determination but the disadvantage that stepwise heating is not possible. We would encourage more attempts for age determinations of buddingtonite, together with muscovite–illite from sedimentary–diagenetic rocks or hydrothermal deposits with the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar method, including analysis from mineral separates, which would be helpful to gain insight into sedimentary–diagenetic and hydrothermal processes.
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