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Abstract. In this work, we have determined or evaluated thermodynamic properties of synthetic \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\), \(\text{MgSb}_2\text{O}_6\) (analogue of the mineral byströmite), \(\text{Mg}[\text{Sb(OH)}_6]_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (brandholzite), and natural chapmanite \([(\text{Fe}_{1.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})\text{Sb(Si}_2\text{O}_5)\text{O}_3(\text{OH})]\). Enthalpies of reactions, including formation enthalpies, were evaluated using reference compounds \(\text{Sb}, \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3, \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5, \) and other phases, with high-temperature oxide melt solution calorimetry in lead borate and sodium molybdate solvents. Heat capacity and entropy were determined by relaxation and differential scanning calorimetry. The best set of \(\Delta f H^o\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) and \(S^o\) (J mol\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)) is byströmite \(\pm 1733.0 \pm 3.6, 139.3 \pm 1.0\); brandholzite \(\pm 5243.1 \pm 3.6, 571.0 \pm 4.0\); and chapmanite \(\pm 3164.9 \pm 4.7, 305.1 \pm 2.1\). The data for chapmanite give \(\Delta f G^o\) of \(-2973.6 \pm 4.7\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and \(\log K = -17.10\) for the dissolution reaction \((\text{Fe}_{1.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})\text{Sb(Si}_2\text{O}_5)\text{O}_3(\text{OH}) + 6\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 1.88\text{Fe}^{3+} + 0.12\text{Al}^{3+} + 2\text{SiO}_2^{2-} + \text{Sb(OH)}_3^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}\). Analysis of the data showed that chapmanite is finely balanced in terms of its stability with schafarzikite \((\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_4)\) and tripuhyite \((\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_4)\) under a specific, narrow range of conditions when both aqueous \(\text{Fe(III)}\) and \(\text{Sb(III)}\) are abundant. In such a model, chapmanite is metastable by a narrow margin but could be stabilized by high \(\text{SiO}_2^{2-}\)aq activities. Natural assemblages of chapmanite commonly contain abundant amorphous silica, suggesting that this mechanism may be indeed responsible for the formation of chapmanite. Chapmanite probably forms during low-temperature hydrothermal overprint of pre-existing \(\text{Sb}\) ores under moderately reducing conditions; the slightly elevated temperatures may help to overcome the kinetic barrier for its crystallization. During weathering, sheet silicates may adsorb \(\text{Sb}^{3+}\) in tridentate hexanuclear fashion, thus exposing their chapmanite-like surfaces to the surrounding aqueous environment. Formation of chapmanite, as many other sheet silicates, under ambient conditions, is unlikely.

1 Introduction

Antimony is an element that enters into both quite soluble and quite insoluble minerals as it moves through the aqueous environment. The solubility of such reservoirs was previously quantified by Filella and May (2003), Diemar et al. (2009), Leverett et al. (2012), Roper et al. (2015), and others using thermodynamic data. The discrepancies between the observations of antimony being soluble at some sites but insoluble at other ones were addressed and resolved by Majzlan et al. (2016). The rich mineralogy of antimony was extensively summarized by Majzlan (2021), and the details will not be repeated here.

Two insoluble minerals, considered to be the “ultimate sinks” of antimony, are tripuhyite \((\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_4)\) and schafarzikite \((\text{FeSb}_2\text{O}_4\)\) (Leverett et al., 2012). Tripuhyite has been identified at a number of sites polluted by \(\text{Sb}\) (see Majzlan, 2021), but schafarzikite is rare, restricted to a few localities where it seems to be primary and not secondary (e.g.,...
The aim of this work is to evaluate the thermodynamic stability of chapmanite, a rare mineral that could, however, constitute an alternative sink of antimony in slightly reducing environments. To this goal, first we verified the methodology of high-temperature oxide melt solution calorimetry in molten lead borate on antimony phases, doing a number of cross-checks. Once assured that this method can yield accurate and precise data, the enthalpy of formation of chapmanite was measured. Entropy was obtained by integration of low-temperature heat capacity data measured by relaxation calorimetry. Calculations of stability and solubility of chapmanite in selected exemplary systems document its possible role in the environment.

Throughout this paper, the phases investigated can be referred to by their mineral names. In their synthetic form, they are equivalents of the naturally occurring minerals. The use of these names improves the clarity of the presentation because a mineral name is linked not only to a specific chemical composition, but also to a crystal structure. It is particularly advantageous in systems with polymorphism, such as among the antimony oxides. The chemical formulae and mineral names of the phases considered in this paper are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>Mineral name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₃</td>
<td>valentinite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₄</td>
<td>cervantite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₅·nH₂O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg[Sb(OH)₆]₂·6H₂O</td>
<td>brandholzite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSb₂O₈</td>
<td>bryströmite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂Sb(SiO₅)O₃(OH)</td>
<td>chapmanite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>hematite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>periclase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>quartz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Materials

Synthetic Sb₂O₃ (equivalent of valentinite) and Sb₂O₅ were purchased from suppliers and used as received. Sb₂O₄ (equivalent of cervantite) was synthesized by treatment of Sb₂O₃ at 700 °C for 1 d (Konopik and Zwiauer, 1952). Powdered Sb₂O₃ was placed into a platinum crucible, covered by a platinum lid and heated in air. In contrast to the results of Konopik and Zwiauer (1952), we found that prolonged heat treatment does not lead to better crystallinity or phase purity but to amorphization of the sample.

Crystals of Mg[Sb(OH)₆]₂·6H₂O (equivalent of brandholzite) were synthesized according to the procedure of Diemar et al. (2009). Two separate solutions were prepared initially. One of them was 1 M Sb⁵⁺ solution, prepared by mixing deionized water and K₂Sb(OH)₆. The suspension was heated on a heating plate at ≈ 60 °C until most of the solid dissolved. The undissolved residue was separated by decantation. The other solution was 0.1 M Mg²⁺, prepared by mixing of deionized water and MgCl₂·6H₂O. The two solutions were mixed, resulting in the immediate formation of a white precipitate. The suspension was allowed to stand at room temperature for 2 months and then filtered and washed several times by deionized water. The filtrate consisted of euhedral crystals of Mg[Sb(OH)₆]₂·6H₂O and white, powder aggregates of an unknown phase, perhaps of the same composition. The crystals were up to 1 mm in size and were separated from the rest of the sample under a binocular microscope.

MgSb₂O₆ was prepared from Mg[Sb(OH)₆]₂·6H₂O by heating at 1000 °C for 1 h. The crystals of Mg[Sb(OH)₆]₂·6H₂O were placed into a platinum crucible, covered by a platinum lid and heated in air. The resulting sample was powdery and grayish.

A natural sample of chapmanite, nominally Fe₂Sb(SiO₅)O₃(OH), used in this work originated from the Pezinok Sb deposit in Slovakia (Polák, 1983, 1988). The sample consisted of a coating of powdery greenish-yellow crusts of chapmanite on dark gray quartz with sparse tiny pyrite crystals. The crusts were scraped of the specimens and separated by a standard protocol for clay mineral separation. Briefly, 20 g of the sample under 0.16 mm (after grinding) was mixed with 300 mL distilled water in a beaker. Afterwards, 3–4 mL of 0.1 M solution of sodium hexametaphosphate were added, the suspension was ultrasonicated for 5 min, the volume added up to 2 L in a cylinder. After 24 h, the water column was removed with a suction pump and the sediment at the bottom discarded. The suspension from the suction pump was transferred into a beaker, and a few drops of 15 % HCl were added to coagulate the clay particles. After coagulation, water was removed with the suction pump and discarded. The slurry was transferred onto a thin plastic sheet and dried at 50 °C. Further treatment, owing to the analytical results, is described below.
3 Methods

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of all minerals and reference compounds were collected using a Bruker D8 Advance DaVinci diffractometer employing Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.54058 Å). The patterns were collected at room temperature between 5 and 90 °C 2θ, with a step size of 0.02° 2θ and a time per step of 1 s. Lattice parameters and quantitative fractions of the studied phases were refined by a full-profile fit using the software suite JANA2006 (Petříček et al., 2014).

Thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA) of chapmanite was done with a TG 92 Setaram TG/DTA instrument. The samples were heated from room temperature up to 900 °C in a flow of argon at a heating rate of 10 °C min⁻¹. Thermogravimetric (TG) analysis of synthetic Sb₂O₅ was performed with a Labsys Evo instrument from room temperature to 600 °C. Heating rate was 10 °C min⁻¹, and the measurement was done in flowing air.

The quantitative chemical composition of chapmanite was determined by electron microprobe using a JEOL JXA-8230. The operating conditions were set to an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 5 nA, and a beam diameter between 5 and 10 μm. The wavelength-dispersive X-ray spectrometers were used to measure the elements and X-ray emission lines of Al, Mg, Si, Ca, K, P, S, Fe, Mn (Kα), and Sb (Lα). To improve the count-rate statistics, the counting times were 40 s. The standard specimens used for calibration were Al₂O₃ for Al, wollastonite for Si and Ca, MgO for Mg, celestine for S, InSb for Sb, orthoclase for K, apatite for P, hematite for Fe, and rhodinite for Mn. Peak overlap correction was used to avoid interference between the lines of Sb and K. The detection limits, calculated from the peak and background counts, the measurement time, the beam current, and the standard material concentration, are 0.07 wt % for Si, Ca, Mg, and Mn; 0.08 wt % for Fe and Al; 0.09 wt % for P and S; 0.11 wt % for K; and 0.20 wt % for Sb.

Morphological characterization of the chapmanite sample was performed using a Carl Zeiss ULTRA Plus FEG scanning electron microscope (SEM) operating with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV. Selected crystals were analyzed by energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis.

A portion of the sample was brought into solution by total digestion in a microwave in a mixture of HNO₃, HClO₄, and HF. The concentration of selected elements was measured by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS). The instrument used was Thermo Fisher Scientific.

High-temperature oxide melt solution calorimetry has been described in detail by Navrotsky (1997, 2014). The experiments were performed at 1073 K in both lead borate (2PbO·B₂O₃) and sodium molybdate (3Na₂O·4MoO₃) solvents. Oxygen gas was flushed over the solvent at 90 mL min⁻¹ and bubbled through it at 5 mL min⁻¹.

Low-temperature heat capacity (C_p) was measured by relaxation calorimetry using a commercial Physical Properties Measurement System (PPMS, from Quantum Design, San Diego, California) at the University of Salzburg, Austria. With due care, accuracy can be within 1 % at 5 to 300 K and 5 % at 0.7 to 5 K (Dachs and Bertoldi, 2005; Kennedy et al., 2007). The powdered samples were wrapped in a thin Al foil and compressed to produce a ≈0.5 mm thick pellet, which was then placed onto the sample platform of the calorimeter for measurement. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was used to measure heat capacities near and above room temperature using a Perkin Elmer Diamond DSC. Details of the method are described by Benisek et al. (2012). The entropy was calculated by integration of the C_p/T function in the interval from 0 to 298.15 K.

4 Crystal structure of chapmanite

Chapmanite is a rare sheet silicate with unique structural features. The crystal structure of this fine-grained mineral was solved early by electron diffraction (Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin, 1977). It is related to kaolinite with tetrahedral–octahedral layers. The vacant sites in the dioctahedral sheets are capped by Sb³⁺ ions (Fig. 1), with their lone electron pairs pointing into the interlayer. Charge balance is achieved by deprotonation of three OH groups. Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin (1977) report a limited (1 %) Si-Al substitution in the tetrahedral sites, slight excess of Fe, and deficiency of Sb.

A later study by Ballirano et al. (1998) confirmed the structural model of Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin (1977). Ballirano et al. (1998) used Rietveld refinement of powder XRD data to improve the structural model. They also showed that the natural samples are mixtures of chapmanite, quartz, microcline, calcite, and dolomite.

5 Results

5.1 Characterization and calorimetry of oxides of antimony

The powder XRD patterns of all oxides of antimony show only sharp peaks of one phase (see supporting electronic information). The refined lattice parameters are summarized in Table 2.

Special attention was paid to the hydration state of Sb₂O₅·nH₂O as the amount of H₂O strongly influences the calorimetric results. The color of the initial product changed after the TG analysis from yellow to white, indicating H₂O loss and possible partial reduction. The total weight loss measured for our Sb₂O₅·nH₂O sample was 9.83 wt %. According to Kovalenko et al. (2019), Sb₂O₅·nH₂O gradually loses water and becomes H₂O-free at around 843 K. Additional weight loss was attributed to partial reduction of antimony and release of O₂ gas. Using the same interpretation of our TG data, a weight loss of 9.28 wt % is attributable to H₂O, and the remaining weight loss of 0.55 wt % is due to the partial reduction of the Sb₂O₅.
Figure 1. Fragment of the chapmanite structure, showing the tetrahedral sheets (cyan), dioctahedral sheets (brown) populated by Fe$^{3+}$, and the Sb$^{3+}$ atoms (gray) bonded to three oxygen atoms in the dioctahedral sheets. Red balls represent oxygen atoms. Constructed from the data in Ballirano et al. (1998).

Table 2. Lattice parameters of the studied antimony phases. Lattice parameters constrained by symmetry are not listed. Only the chapmanite sample was natural. Nominal chemical formulae are listed in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Space group</th>
<th>$a$ (Å)</th>
<th>$b$ (Å)</th>
<th>$c$ (Å)</th>
<th>$\beta$ (°)</th>
<th>$V$ (Å$^3$)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valentinite</td>
<td>Pccn</td>
<td>4.9166(3)</td>
<td>12.4783(6)</td>
<td>5.4141(3)</td>
<td>332.16(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Whitten et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervantite</td>
<td>Pna2_1</td>
<td>5.4435(4)</td>
<td>4.8092(4)</td>
<td>11.7693(7)</td>
<td>308.10(4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Amador et al. (1988)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb$_2$O$_5 \cdot n$H$_2$O</td>
<td>Fd3m</td>
<td>10.365(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1113.5(8)</td>
<td>Kovalenko et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byströmite</td>
<td>P4_2/mmm</td>
<td>4.6528(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.2384(7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>199.99(2)</td>
<td>Byström et al. (1942)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandholzite</td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>16.1061(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8640(5)</td>
<td></td>
<td>2215.9(2)</td>
<td>Friedrich et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapmanite</td>
<td>Cm</td>
<td>5.2172(7)</td>
<td>9.001(1)</td>
<td>7.7613(8)</td>
<td>101.71(1)</td>
<td>356.89(4)</td>
<td>Ballirano et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The H$_2$O content determined for Sb$_2$O$_5 \cdot n$H$_2$O was taken into account for the reduction of calorimetric data. For the calorimetric experiments, the sample Sb$_2$O$_5 \cdot n$H$_2$O with 9.28 wt % H$_2$O was used. The presence of H$_2$O in the sample was corrected with the assumption that this water is loosely bound and its heat content is equal to the heat content of free (unbound) H$_2$O ($H_{1073 K} - H_{298.15 K} = 73 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$). Therefore, Tables 3 and 4 contain reactions and reaction enthalpies that refer to Sb$_2$O$_5$ and not Sb$_2$O$_5 \cdot n$H$_2$O.

The chemical reactions considered in the calorimetric experiments are summarized in Table 3. The drop solution enthalpies of antimony oxides in lead borate and sodium molybdate are listed Table 4. The final oxidation state of the antimony in the lead borate melt under oxygen flushing and bubbling is assumed to be 5+. There are no experimental data to confirm this assumption, but the experience with similar systems (e.g., arsenic in oxide melts, Majzlan, 2017) and the magnitudes of the measured enthalpies support the assumption. No difficulties or irregularities were encountered in the experiments with lead borate. The dissolution of the antimony oxides in sodium molybdate results in more scattered data than in lead borate due to baseline shifts. A possible explanation is a reaction of the antimony with the sodium molybdate solvent and the formation of an unknown refractory compound. Nevertheless, consistent drop solution enthalpy data can be obtained if the number of drops is increased. For sodium molybdate, the results for Sb$_2$O$_5$ are more consistent (smaller baseline shifts) than for the Sb$^{3+}$-containing oxides.

5.2 Thermodynamics of Mg(Sb(OH)$_6$)$_2 \cdot 6$H$_2$O (brandholzite)

The drop solution enthalpies of brandholzite were measured in both oxide melt solvents, and the enthalpies of formation from oxides and from elements were calculated via a thermochemical cycle, using reactions in Table 3 and their respective enthalpies (Table 4). The cycle can be expressed by the equation

$$
\Delta_f H^o(\text{brandholzite}) = \Delta H_1 + \Delta H_3 - \Delta H_4 + 12\Delta H_{11} + \Delta H_{17} + \Delta H_{13} + 12\Delta H_{21}.
$$

The enthalpies of formation calculated from the measurements in the two solvents (Table 5) are consistent within their uncertainties.

Low-temperature heat capacity data (Fig. 2a) for brandholzite show no anomalies, as expected for a phase with the elements Mg, Sb, O, and H. Integration with polynomials gave the standard entropy at $T = 298.15 \text{ K}$ of $S^o = 571.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ J mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$. DSC data were measured between 280 and 300 K and agree well with the PPMS data. The DSC data are $\approx 0.5 \%$ higher than the PPMS data.
Table 3. Reactions considered in the thermochemical cycles used to calculate the enthalpies of selected reactions in the text and enthalpies of formation. cr: crystalline; l: liquid; g: gas; sol: solution in the molten calorimetric solvent. The numbers in parentheses indicate temperature in kelvin (K).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>cr</th>
<th>l</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>sol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sb₂O₃(cr, 298) + O₂(g, 1073) → Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sb₂O₄(cr, 298) + 0.5O₂(g, 1073) → Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Sb₂O₅(cr, 298) → Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Mg₂(Sb₂O₅)₂ · 6H₂O(cr, 298) → MgO(sol, 1073) + Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073) + 12H₂O(g, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mg₂Sb₂O₃(cr, 298) → MgO(sol, 1073) + Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(Fe₁₈Al₁₂)Sb₂(Si₂O₅)O₃(OH)(cr, 298) + 0.5O₂(g, 1073) → 0.94Fe₂O₃(sol, 1073) + 0.06Al₂O₃(sol, 1073) + 0.5Sb₂O₅(sol, 1073) + 2SiO₂(sol, 1073) + 0.5H₂O(g, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MgO(cr, 298) → MgO(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fe₂O₃(cr, 298) → Fe₂O₃(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Al₂O₃(cr, 298) → Al₂O₃(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SiO₂(cr, 298) → SiO₂(sol, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>H₂O(l, 298) → H₂O(g, 1073)</td>
<td>cr</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>sol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Drop solution enthalpies (Δ_dH) of antimony compounds and reference phases in lead borate and sodium molybdate melt at 1073 K. “No.” is the reaction number of the reactions in Table 3. Data for the drop-solution enthalpies of reference Sb-free phases from Navrotsky (2014), with the exception of MgO (Lilova et al., 2019). H₁₀⁷³–H₂⁹⁸.₁₅ is heat content between T = 298.15 K and T = 1073 K. Heat content is calculated from heat-capacity polynomials in Robie and Hemingway (1995). All data are in kJ mol⁻¹.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Δ_dH, lead borate</th>
<th>Δ_dH, sodium molybdate</th>
<th>H₁₀⁷³–H₂⁹⁸.₁₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Valentinite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-351.17⁺ ± 2.08ᵇ (8⁻)</td>
<td>-235.72 ± 2.76 (8)⁺</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervantite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-148.31⁺ ± 4.69 (16)</td>
<td>-34.80 ± 0.63 (8)⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb₂O₅</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-58.00 ± 2.81 (8)⁻</td>
<td>59.13 ± 0.45 (9)⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandholzite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1127.8 ± 22.0 (8)⁻</td>
<td>1209.6 ± 24.2 (8)⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Byströmite</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>172.13 ± 3.43 (8)⁻</td>
<td>246.89 ± 3.52 (7)⁻</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapmanite</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>158.88 ± 3.28 (16)⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periclase</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.09 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>182.29 ± 1.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corundum</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>120.12 ± 0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>47.79 ± 0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Mean. ⁱ Two standard deviations of the mean. ⁵ Number of measurements. ⁶ Mielewczyn-Gryn and Lilova (unpublished data).

The relatively low uncertainties (in kJ mol⁻¹) of the Δ_dH values from the calorimetric work are related to the high molecular weight of the samples and the large magnitude of heat effects of the primary high-temperature oxide melt solution calorimetric data. The unusual uncertainty on these data is about 1% of the measured signal. In the case of brandholzite, the signal is large because of the appreciable amount of H₂O in this phase and the heat effect caused by this H₂O. Hence, the uncertainties are not a sign of any problems in calorimetry. Despite these uncertainties, the data are very useful in evaluating the equilibria below.

5.3 Thermodynamics of MgSb$_2$O$_6$ (byströmite)

The drop solution enthalpy of byströmite was measured in the two molten oxide solvents, and the enthalpy of formation from oxides and elements was calculated via a thermochemical cycle, using reactions in Table 3 and their respective enthalpies (Table 4). The cycle can be expressed by the equation

$$\Delta_f H^\circ (\text{byströmite}) = \Delta H_7 + \Delta H_3 - \Delta H_5 + \Delta H_{17} + \Delta H_{13}. \quad (23)$$

The standard entropy, calculated from the low-temperature heat capacity (Fig. 2b), is $139.3 \pm 1.0$ J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$. DSC data were measured between 280 and 560 K. In the region of overlap, the DSC data are 1.3 % lower than the PPMS data. The DSC data were shifted to match the PPMS data and then fitted to a Maier–Kelley polynomial. The results are listed in Table 6.

5.4 Chapmanite: sample characterization

A full-profile refinement of the powder XRD data (Fig. 3a) quantified the fractions of minerals in the sample. This analysis gave 85.2 % chapmanite, 9.9 % quartz, and 4.9 % calcite. Small crystals of pyrite, visible during the separation of the fine fraction, were not captured by this analysis. Inspection of the sample in a scanning electron microscope did not reveal the presence of any other crystalline phases or grains which could be suspected to be amorphous. It has to be noted, however, that the carbonates were also not seen and are suspected to form microcrystalline coatings on the sheet silicates. Chapmanite forms book-like aggregates of platy crystals (Fig. 3b). Tiny (less than a few micrometers) hexahedral crystals used to be pyrite. In the EDX analyses, they gave...
strong Fe and S but also O signal, suggesting that they are pseudomorphs of iron oxides with sulfate after pyrite. This observation agrees with the fact that pyrite was not captured by PXRD. It is not clear if pyrite weathered naturally or during the initial sample handling. Quartz occurred as larger grains with typical conchoidal fracture.

This sample was subjected to electron microprobe analyses. The analyses were done on flakes of the chapmanite sample obtained by the first fine-fraction separation as polishing of this sample turned out to be impossible. These flakes provide a relatively, but not perfectly, flat surface and are porous, thus diminishing the quality of the analyses. Hence, these results can be considered only as semi-quantitative. They are scattered – for example CaO averages at 1.3 wt% with a standard deviation of 0.5 wt% (n = 30), and MgO is 1.0 ± 0.6 wt%. These results agree well with the fraction of carbonate refined from the PXRD data. The SO\(_5\) signal is ubiquitous, giving 3.7 ± 4.3 wt%. It agrees with the supposition that pyrite in the sample weathered to X-ray amorphous iron oxides (perhaps schwertmannite) with elevated sulfur content. The P\(_2\)O\(_5\) concentration of 2.0 ± 0.4 wt% is attributable to the previous treatment with sodium hexametaphosphate. The only impurity that can be assigned to chapmanite is Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), with 1.4 ± 1.3 wt%.

Obviously, calorimetric results from such a sample would be difficult to interpret. Therefore, the sample underwent several cycles of cleaning. The powder was re-dispersed three times in 5% HCl overnight and then rinsed, filtered, and dried. Furthermore, a finer fraction of the sample was obtained by re-dispersing the sample, using the same procedure as described in the methods section but allowing it to sediment for 48 h, thus eliminating the larger quartz grains. After this procedure, the sample was rinsed extensively in an attempt to remove the phosphate.

Quartz and carbonates were removed from the sample, as evidenced by the PXRD data after the treatment. We recognized that not all phosphate was removed, and this impurity is not easy to correct for. There remained 0.4 wt% P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and 0.2 wt% SO\(_3\) in the sample (recalculated ICP-MS data after the total digestion). Experience with high-temperature oxide melt calorimetry shows, however, that impurities under 1% can generally be neglected if there is no way to correct for them. This is true if the contribution of such impurities to the measured heat effect is likely to be within the experimental error of the measurement and as long as their heat effects are comparable to that of the major phase. This is the case for P\(_2\)O\(_5\) and SO\(_3\). Pyrite, on the other hand, would be an example of an impurity with much different, in this case much higher, signal. Our analyses showed that pyrite was not present, either in the initial or purified sample. The molar Al/(Al + Fe) ratio of 0.06 was considered in the chemical formula used for calorimetric calculations. The molar Sb/(Al + Fe) ratio was 0.98, which is slightly less than 1 but within the uncertainty of the analysis. A slight Sb deficiency in chapmanite was also detected by Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin (1977). They assumed that some of the vacant octahedra were occupied by Fe although there was no evidence of Fe\(^{2+}\) in the sample that could support such an assumption. The method selected (ICP-MS) is considered to be more accurate and precise than the electron microprobe analysis, but the downside is that Si is partially volatilized during the digestion as SiF\(_4\). Hence, Si cannot be determined, and the complete stoichiometry cannot be fixed. The formula constructed for the reduction of the calorimetric data is (Fe\(_{1.88}\)Al\(_{0.12}\))Sb(Si\(_2\)O\(_5\))O\(_3\)(OH), with molecular mass of 431.1537 g mol\(^{-1}\). All thermodynamic data presented in this work refer to this formula and molecular mass.

The sample contained adsorbed water that manifested itself strongly during the DSC measurements. During the dynamic DSC measurement, the endothermic signal from the adsorbed H\(_2\)O (at its peak at \(T \approx 620\) K) exceeded the intrinsic heat capacity of the sample by \(\approx 70\%\). To remove the adsorbed H\(_2\)O prior to the calorimetric experiments, the sample was treated statically (i.e., not under continuously increasing temperature) at 470 K for 3 min and cooled. The loss of structurally bound H\(_2\)O begins at 620 K and continues to 900 K, when it is interrupted in the data by a mass gain. This mass gain is probably related to oxidation of Sb. The nature of high-temperature products was not investigated. The PXRD data indicated no structural changes in chapmanite after the thermal treatment at 470 K.

In addition, the purification led to preferential enrichment of the sample in smaller particles. Small particle size could also influence thermodynamic properties. It would be desirable, in the future, to repeat the calorimetric experiments on a synthetic, pure sample of chapmanite. However, synthesis protocols for such a phase are unknown at this time. Despite these complexities, we believe that our results are an accurate representation of the thermodynamic properties of this phase.

---

Table 6. Coefficients for the Maier–Kelley heat-capacity polynomial \(C_p = a + bT + cT^{-2}\) for byströmite and chapmanite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>(a \times 10^{-2})</th>
<th>(b \times 10)</th>
<th>(c \times 10^{-6})</th>
<th>Temperature range (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byströmite</td>
<td>1.6122</td>
<td>1.0579</td>
<td>−2.7795</td>
<td>280–560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapmanite</td>
<td>2.2895</td>
<td>3.4527</td>
<td>−2.5940</td>
<td>280–460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. (a) Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of the chapmanite sample after the first treatment step. All peaks belong to chapmanite, with the exception of two small peaks that are assigned to quartz (Q) and calcite (C). (b) Secondary-electron image of this chapmanite sample, showing the small book-like aggregates of chapmanite but also small fragments of quartz (Q) and pseudomorphs of iron oxides after pyrite (P) with the cubic morphology.

5.5 Thermodynamic properties of chapmanite, \((\text{Fe}_{1.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})\text{Sb(Si}_2\text{O}_5)\text{O}_3(\text{OH})\)

From the two solvents commonly used for high-temperature oxide melt solution calorimetry (see Navrotsky, 2014), lead borate is the only option for the calorimetry on chapmanite. This restriction is caused by its ability to dissolve silicates, in contrast to sodium molybdate. For this reason, we have cross-checked the two solvents and established that lead borate is a suitable solvent for calorimetry of antimonous and antimonic compounds.

The drop solution enthalpy of chapmanite was measured in lead borate, and the enthalpy of formation from elements and oxides was calculated via a thermochemical cycle, using reactions in Table 3 and their respective enthalpies (Table 4). The cycle can be expressed by the equation

\[
\Delta_f H^\circ(\text{chapmanite}) = 0.94\Delta H_8 + 0.06\Delta H_9 + 0.5\Delta H_1 \\
+ 2\Delta H_{10} + 0.5\Delta H_{11} - \Delta H_6 \\
+ 0.94\Delta H_{18} + 0.06\Delta H_{19} + 0.5\Delta H_{12} \\
+ 2\Delta H_{20} + 0.5\Delta H_{21}. 
\] (24)

The enthalpy of formation from oxides, for the reaction

\[
0.94\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.06\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.5\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{SiO}_2 + 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\rightarrow (\text{Fe}_{1.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})\text{Sb(Si}_2\text{O}_5)\text{O}_3(\text{OH}), 
\] (25)

is \(\Delta H_{25}^o = -22.6 \pm 3.7 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\).

Heat capacity of chapmanite was measured at sub- and superambient conditions. The low-temperature \(C_p\) data show a pronounced but broad anomaly centered at \(T = 10.7\text{K}\) (Fig. 4). Integration of the low-temperature \(C_p\) data gave \(S^o = 305.1 \pm 2.1 \text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}\). Combination of the enthalpy of formation and entropy gave \(\Delta_f G^o = -2973.6 \pm 4.7 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) and \(\Delta G_{25}^o = -346.5 \pm 5.6 \text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) with the corresponding \(\log K_{25} = 6.06\). The DSC data were shifted to match the PPMS data and fitted by a Maier–Kelley polynomial (Table 6).

Combining Eq. (25) with dissolution reactions for hematite, corundum, valentinite, and quartz defines the dissolution reaction for chapmanite:

\[
(\text{Fe}_{1.88}\text{Al}_{0.12})\text{Sb(Si}_2\text{O}_5)\text{O}_3(\text{OH}) + 6\text{H}^+ \\
\rightarrow 1.88\text{Fe}^{3+} + 0.12\text{Al}^{3+} + 2\text{SiO}_2^0 + \text{Sb(OH)}_3^0 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}, 
\] (26)

with \(\log K_{26} = -17.10\). This value can be used for geochemical modeling with software packages such as PHREEQC or Geochemist’s Workbench.
6 Discussion

6.1 Consistency of the formation enthalpies of antimony oxides

An initial check of the calorimetric data is the comparison of the enthalpies for a reaction that relates all three antimony oxides:

\[
0.5\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3 + 0.5\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5 \rightarrow \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_4. \tag{27}
\]

A cursory examination of the values in Table 7 shows that the enthalpies for Eq. (27) are scattered and inconsistent. Early data from dissociation pressure measurements (Biltz, 1908; Simon and Thaler, 1927) agree with the newer calorimetric work of Knauth and Schwitzgebel (1988). Our data form another cluster of values with the reaction calorimetry of Mixter (1909) and the critical selection of Rossini et al. (1952). The enthalpies for Eq. (27) span a range of 110 kJ mol\(^{-1}\), which makes critical comparison of our and earlier data complicated.

The data agreement is even worse for the reaction

\[
0.5\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5 \tag{28}
\]
as shown in Fig. 5. The values calculated from reported data scatter almost over 150 kJ mol\(^{-1}\) and document the difficulties of measurements on antimony oxides. These compounds are sensitive to heat treatment and oxygen fugacity and are hard to control. This trouble was noted early on, for example by J. J. Berzelius who wrote in 1812 that “I have never worked with a material with which it was so extremely difficult to obtain constant results”, referring to his work on antimony oxides (Cooke, 1877). Obviously, much of this trouble has persisted until today.

When considering the enthalpies of formation of the antimony oxides, the most striking is the scatter for \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\). Glushko et al. (1972) gave \(-962.3 \pm 8\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), and Nekrasov (2009, p. 151) reported \(-1007.51\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), but Gribchenkova et al. (2009) presented a much different value of \(-1128 \pm 48\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), to name a few. Recently, Abramchuk et al. (2020) determined the enthalpy of the drop solution for metallic Sb. They also derived the enthalpy of formation of \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\) to be \(-957.0 \pm 3.1\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), but we improved this value by using a better characterized \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\) sample. Combining our calorimetric data for \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\) and the data of Abramchuk et al. (2020) for Sb, we obtain \(-953.0 \pm 2.4\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). With this \(\Delta f H^\circ\) value for \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\), our data for the Mg-Sb phases (brandholzite and byströmite) are reasonably close to what would be expected from solubility data (see Table 8).

The data for \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_3\) are much less scattered, even though not every publication specified if the data relate to valentinite or senarmontite. Glushko et al. (1972) gave \(-702.5 \pm 8.7\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Nekrasov (2009, p. 151) gave \(-709.44\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). Robie and Hemingway (1995) selected \(-708.6 \pm 2.9\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\). A recent EMF study gave \(-709.74\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\) (Aspia et al., 2014) and showed that most available values in the literature cluster tightly between \(-708\) and \(-710\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

The available data show extreme discrepancies for \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\). It could be suspected that one of the reasons for the inconsistency is the poorly defined oxidation state in the \(\text{“Sb}_2\text{O}_5”\) samples. Why otherwise would efforts to produce pure sample involve syntheses under high \(\text{O}_2(\text{g})\) pressure (Schwarzmann et al., 1977)? It was noted that “antimonic acid cannot be dehydrated by heating in air to give products of constant and reproducible weight without simultaneous reduction of some of the \(\text{Sb}^V\) to \(\text{Sb}^III\)” (Stewart et al., 1972). The nature of the \(\text{Sb}_2\text{O}_5\) used in various studies is therefore questionable, but this issue is beyond the scope of this study.

The situation regarding valentinite is much more favorable, and this phase can serve as a good reference compound for the calorimetry on \(\text{Sb}^{3+}\) phases. For further work, we adopt the datum from Robie and Hemingway (1995) that lies approximately in the middle of the tight cluster of values.

6.2 Consistency among the magnesium antimonates: byströmite and brandholzite

The agreement between our calorimetric data and published solubility data (Diemar et al., 2009; Roper et al., 2015) is less than optimal, although differences of similar magnitude are encountered in many systems. The values are summarized for easier comparison in Table 8. Yet, we can circumvent the need for reference compounds in the solution calorimetry and make a direct comparison. Consider the reaction

\[
\text{MgSb}_2\text{O}_6(\text{cr}) + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow \text{Mg(Sb(OH)}_6\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O(\text{cr})}. \tag{29}
\]
Table 7. Enthalpies for reaction 0.5Sb₂O₃ (valentinite) + 0.5Sb₂O₅ → Sb₂O₄ (Eq. 27) at T = 298.15 K. All data are in kJ mol⁻¹.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Δʃf H° (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>S° (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Δʃf G° (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biltz (1908)</td>
<td>−25.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dissociation pressure measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixter (1909)</td>
<td>−56.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heats of combination with sodium peroxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon and Thaler (1927)</td>
<td>−26.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dissociation pressure measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maier (1934)</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossini et al. (1952)</td>
<td>−52.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coughlin (1954)</td>
<td>−43 ± 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kubaschewski and Evans (1958)</td>
<td>−5 ± 90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barin and Knacke (1973)</td>
<td>−109.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barin et al. (1977)</td>
<td>−46.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wagman et al. (1965, 1982)</td>
<td>−67.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>critical selection of thermodynamic data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knauth and Schwitzgebel (1988)</td>
<td>−24 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heats of dissolution in eutectic KOH–NaOH mixture at 483 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this work</td>
<td>−56.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heats of dissolution in lead borate at 1073 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this work</td>
<td>−53.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>heats of dissolution in sodium molybdate at 1073 K</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ΔG°₂₉ value, calculated from the solubility data (Diemar et al., 2009; Roper et al., 2015), is 41.4 ± 5.1 kJ mol⁻¹. The calorimetric data from this study give ΔG°₂₉ = 42.7 ± 27.6 kJ mol⁻¹. The large error relates mostly to the calorimetric datum of brandholzite (Table 4, ±22.0 kJ mol⁻¹) that was already discussed. The agreement between the two ΔG values is excellent. This agreement re-enforces the suspicion that the primary source of the differences is the data for Sb₂O₅, even though the latest Δʃf H° value for Sb₂O₅ (our data and Abramchuk et al., 2020) yields fairly good results. Hence, a compound like MgSb₂O₆ would possibly be a better reference compound for solution calorimetry of Sb⁵⁺ phases.

Using the solubility data of Diemar et al. (2009) and Roper et al. (2015), combined with the entropies determined in this work, we propose a set of consistent thermodynamic functions for byströmite and brandholzite. They are listed in Table 9.

6.3 Assemblages and origin of chapmanite

In this and previous studies (e.g., Ballirano et al., 1998), chapmanite was consistently found to be associated with carbonates, mostly calcite or dolomite. Sulfides in the association of chapmanite were noted, for example small pyrite crystals (this work) or marcasite (Bräunsdorf, Germany, Milton et al., 1958). In the Keeley mine (Ontario, Canada), chapmanite is intergrown with native silver (Walker, 1924). In Pezinok (Slovakia), chapmanite was found to be associated with secondary siderite, cryptocrystalline SiO₂ (hyalite), and allophane-like material (Polák, 1988). In both Pezinok and La Bessade (France) (Périchaud and Pierrot, 1965), chapmanite was identified in the deeper parts of the veins, partially forming pseudomorphs after stibnite. At La Bessade, chapmanite was intergrown with opaline silica, forming compact aggregates with conchoidal fracture. The association with quartz or chalcedony was described as a characteristic feature by Milton et al. (1958).

These assemblages bear information about the formation conditions of chapmanite. The common association with carbonates suggests that chapmanite cannot be related to acidic solutions related to oxidative weathering of pyrite. The presence of pyrite, marcasite, or siderite indicates reducing conditions. The carbonates, for example calcite or dolomite detected in this work or by Ballirano et al. (1998), could form later than chapmanite, thus invalidating the assumption that acidic conditions are excluded. On the other hand, siderite found with chapmanite at Pezinok (Polák, 1988) seems to be co-genetic and indicates indeed neutral or alkaline conditions. Chapmanite from Argent mine (South Africa, Verryn and Meulenbeek, 2000) was reported to occur on siderite, but no details about this association were provided. Opaline
silica, reported from several localities, suggests that the fluids were transporting greater amounts of silicic acid. Hence, chapmanite could be a product of supergene processes, as suggested by Pauliš et al. (2019), but in deeper, reduced portions of the ore bodies.

6.4 Stability and solubility of chapmanite

The thermodynamic data presented here allow one to model the stability of chapmanite quantitatively. There are two insoluble, competing sinks for antimony in low-temperature settings: schafarzikite and tripuhyite (Leverett et al., 2012). The question is therefore the relationship between these phases. Figure 6 presents the results of a forward simulation with PHREEQC. In this model, a Ca-Mg-SO₄ solution (for its composition, see supporting electronic information) with pH = 5.7 was equilibrated with chapmanite under different redox conditions. They were imposed on the solution by simply varying the input value of $p_e$. The starting solution had no Fe, Sb, Al, or Si. After equilibration, pH increased slightly but not more than 0.2 log units. The model shows that under low-$p_e$ conditions the saturation index of schafarzikite is almost always higher than 0, and this phase could precipitate. Under oxidizing conditions, tripuhyite is predicted to precipitate in a similar manner. There is not even a small window of $p_e$ conditions under which both competing phases would be undersaturated. It must be pointed out that tripuhyite, and likely also schafarzikite, possess dense structures whose formation from low-temperature fluids is kinetically inhibited (Majzlan et al., 2016). Therefore, rapid transformation of chapmanite to schafarzikite or tripuhyite should not be expected.

What are then the conditions conducive for the formation of chapmanite? Its association with opaline silica suggests elevated activity of SiO₂(aq), which would stabilize and favor chapmanite over schafarzikite or tripuhyite, at least under some redox conditions.

It is interesting to examine mine drainage solutions in terms of the saturation state with respect to chapmanite. From a database of mine drainage solutions that we amassed over years, we selected the analyses where Eh was analytically determined (by oxidation–reduction potential measurement in the field or Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ determination in the laboratory). The results (Fig. 7) show that most of the examined solutions are supersaturated with respect to chapmanite. This observation is in conflict with the reports that chapmanite is such a rare mineral. Yet, unless specifically looked for, chapmanite would escape attention because it would fall into the group of 1:1 sheet silicates (like kaolinite) that are commonly found but not often investigated in detail.

---

Table 9. Proposed best set of thermodynamic functions for brandholzite and byströmite at $T = 298.15$ K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$\Delta_f G^0$ (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>$S^0$ (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\Delta_f S^0$ (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>$\Delta_f H^0$ (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brandholzite</td>
<td>$-4358.4 ± 3.4^a$</td>
<td>$571.0 ± 4.0^c$</td>
<td>$-2967.2 ± 4.0$</td>
<td>$-5243.1 ± 3.6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bystromite</td>
<td>$-1554.1 ± 3.6^b$</td>
<td>$139.3 ± 1.0^c$</td>
<td>$-599.9 ± 1.1$</td>
<td>$-1733.0 ± 3.6$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Diemar et al. (2009); * Roper et al. (2015); * this work.

---

Figure 6. Graphical summary of the results of a forward simulation. A Ca-Mg-SO₄ solution with pH = 5.7 was equilibrated with chapmanite under variable redox ($p_e$) conditions. Shown are the molalities of the aqueous species and saturation indices of schafarzikite and tripuhyite. For details, see text.
Precipitation of chapmanite is likely also kinetically inhibited, as for many sheet silicates at low temperatures. Formation of Fe-rich sheet silicates at low temperatures is possible through aging of hydroxide-silica amorphous precursors (e.g., Harder, 1976), adding to the group of minerals that form from gel-like precursors (see Majzlan, 2020). This notion fits very well with the observation of amorphous silica in association with chapmanite.

7 Conclusions

Is chapmanite a sink of antimony in the environment? The neoformation of chapmanite is limited to a specific environment and is probably kinetically hindered. It requires an Sb-rich, disordered precursor and persistence of conditions that stabilize both Fe$^{3+}$ and Sb$^{3+}$ over longer times. Thermodynamic data suggest that chapmanite is finely balanced in its stability with triphylite and schafarzikite under a narrow range of conditions when ferric (Fe$^{3+}$) and antimonous (Sb$^{3+}$) species predominate.

On the other hand, Sb$^{3+}$ can be adsorbed onto Fe-rich sheet silicates in a similar fashion as in chapmanite. This process can continue up to the formation of a monolayer of chapmanite-like arrangement but operates only on the outer surfaces of the sheet-silicate particles. Hence, chapmanite itself is rare, but chapmanite-like arrangements can be common. Identical arrangement in sheet silicates is observed for Bi$^{3+}$ in bismutoferrite (Milton et al., 1958; Zhukhlistov and Zvyagin, 1977; Šrodek et al., 2019). Similar position was observed for As$^{3+}$ in the structures of Mn-dominated sheet silicates schallerite and nelenite (e.g., Kato and Watanabe, 1991).

The attachment of Sb$^{3+}$ to the dioctahedral sheets in chapmanite can be described as a tridentate-hexanuclear complex on a local scale. Such complexes have been detected for As$^{3+}$ on the surface of iron oxides such as maghemite (Auffan et al., 2008) or magnetite (Liu et al., 2015). On the other hand, Ilgen and Trainor (2012) found mostly bidentate Sb$^{3+}$ complexes on the reactive edges of kaolinite and nontronite particles. It has to be noted that the duration of these experiments was only short, thus representing only the initial, short-term interactions. They also found evidence for polynuclear clusters, interpreted as incipient surface precipitation. In river sediments, Sb is associated mostly with Fe and Al substances (Brannon and Patrick, 1985), confirmed by experimental studies of Sb$^{3+}$ removal from solutions by oxides of Mn, Al, and Fe (e.g., Thanabalasingam and Pickering, 1990). Although the detrital clay particles are relatively large and Al-dominated, neoformed clays are commonly nanosized and Fe-rich, formed either inorganically or through the action of microorganisms (Farmer et al., 1991; Konhauser et al., 1993). They could adsorb Sb$^{3+}$ in the tridentate-hexanuclear fashion, thus exposing their chapmanite-like surfaces to the aqueous environment around them.
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